Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research October 2010; 9 (5): 499-503 © Pharmacotherapy Group, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City, 300001 Nigeria.

All rights reserved.

Available online at http://www.tjpr.org

Research Article

Spectrophotometric Determination of Cilostazol in **Tablet Dosage Form**

Pawan Kumar Basniwal¹*, Vinesh Kumar¹, Prabhat Kumar Shrivastava² and Deepti Jain³

¹LBS College of Pharmacy, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur – 302004, Rajasthan, ²Department of Pharmaceutics, Banaras Hindu University, Banaras, UP, ³School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Rajiv Gandhi Technical University, Bhopal (MP), India

Abstract

Purpose: To develop simple, rapid and selective spectrophotometric methods for the determination of cilostazol in tablet dosage form.

Methods: Cilostazol was dissolved in 50 % methanol and its absorbance was scanned by ultraviolet (UV) spectrophotometry. Both linear regression equation and standard absorptivity were calculated and both methods were validated as per ICH guidelines. Cilostazol was determined in tablet dosage form using these validated methods.

Results: The λ_{max} of cilostazol was 258.2 nm in 50 % methanol. Beer-Lambert's law was obeyed in the concentration range of 0 – 25 μ g/ml and standard absorptivity was 420.2 dL.g¹.cm¹ The numerical values for all the validation parameters were within acceptable limits. The results of cilostazol tablet determination by linear regression equation and standard absorptivity methods indicate purity of 100.0 -102.4 and 98.7 - 101.1 % with standard deviations of 0.611 and 0.592, respectively. Comparing the methods at 99 % confidence limit, the F-test value was found to be 1.065.

Conclusion: These validated methods may be useful for routine analysis of cilostazol as bulk drugs, in dosage forms as well as in dissolution studies in the pharmaceutical industry.

Keywords: Cilostazol tablets, UV spectrophotometry, Linear regression equation, Standard absorptivity.

Received: 8 January 2010

Revised accepted: 21 July 2010

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: pawanbasniwal@gmail.com; Tel: +91-9414788171 (mob).

INTRODUCTION

Cilostazol, whose chemical name is 6-[4-(1cyclohexyl-1H-tetrazol-5-y1) butoxy]-3, 4dihydro-2 (1H) – quinolinone (see Fig 1), is a quinolinone derivative that inhibits cellular phosphodiesterase III, and is used for the inhibition of platelet aggregation and as a vasodilator [1-4].



Fig. 1: Structure of cilostazol

The literature reveals that chromatographic methods are employed in the determination of cilostazol in tablet dosage form and human plasma and, to the best of our knowledge, no spectrophotometric method has yet been reported for this compound in tablet form [5-6]. However, the degradation profile and RP-HPLC analysis of cilostazol in tablet dosage form has been reported [7]. Compared to chromatographic methods, spectrophotometric methods are suitable for routine analysis because it is economic, rapid, simple. maintenance-free, and show comparable accuracy and precision with HPLC methods. Therefore, the object of the present work was to develop spectrophotometric methods for the determination of cilostazol in tablet form.

EXPERIMENTAL

Instruments, reagents and chemicals

Ultraviolet spectrophotometer (1700 series, Shimadzu) and UV-VIS double beam spectrophotometer 2201 (Systronics) with 1 cm matched quartz cells were used for the measurement of absorbance. Shimadzu-Ax-200 electronic balance was used for weighing the samples, and class "A" volumetric glassware were used. Working standard (WS) of cilostazol was a gift from IPCA Laboratories Ltd, Ratlam, MP, India, while Pletoz[®] 50 tablets (cilostazol tablets 50 mg) manufactured by Hetero Drugs Ltd, Hyderabad were procured from a local pharmacy. Methanol AR grade (Merck, India) and distilled water were used for analytical work.

Linear regression equation method

Stock A (500 μ g/ml cilostazol) was prepared from accurately weighed 50 mg cilostazol WS in 50 % aqueous methanol. It was diluted with the solvent to produce Stock B (50 μ g/ml); aliquots of Stock B were further diluted to give concentrations of 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 μ g/ml of cilostazol, respectively. These dilutions were scanned from 300 to 200 nm against 50 % aqueous methanol as blank, and their absorbances observed at 258.2 nm.

Standard absorptivity method

Five dilutions of cilostazol were prepared in triplicate and their absorbances were observed at 258.2 nm. From the above observations, the standard absorptivity, A (1%, 1cm), and molar extinction coefficient were calculated.

Validation of methods

As per ICH guidelines [8-9], six dilutions in triplicate were used to validate both methods for linearity, accuracy (by recovery studiesstandard addition to pre-analysed samples), repeatability (within day), intermediate precision (days, analyst and instrument robustness variation) and (methanol variation: 45, 50 and 55 %), and statistical parameters were calculated for them.

Quantitative determination

Twenty cilostazol tablets were weighed and finely powdered; a quantity equivalent to 50 mg of cilostazol was dissolved in 100 ml of 50 % aqueous methanol and filtered through

Basniwal et al

Whatman filter paper no. 41 to give Stock P. Stock P was diluted to obtain Stock Q (50 μ g/ml). Aliquots of Stock Q were diluted to obtain sample concentrations in the range of linearity. The absorbance values of these sample solutions were observed in a multipoint calibration curve of quantitative mode at the selected wavelength (258.2 nm) to obtain test sample concentration.

RESULTS

The linear regression equation obtained from analyzing standard solutions of cilostazol is shown in Eq 1.

ABC = 0.2069C - 0.204(1)

It showed an $r^2 = 0.9999$ where ABC = absorbance, C = concentration (μ g/ml), and r² correlation coefficient. The standard 1cm), and molar absorptivity, A (1%, extinction coefficient (ɛ) for cilostazol were 420.23 dlg⁻¹cm⁻¹ and 15527.5 1 Mol⁻¹cm⁻¹ respectively (see Table 1). These developed validated for methods were various parameters, viz, accuracy, precision

(repeatability, intermediate precision for days, instrument and analysts) and robustness. Statistical analysis (SD, CV, SEx and SE σ) for validation parameters are summarized in Table 2. These parameters were less than one. Thus, these parameters show the suitability of the methods.

Table 1: Standard absorptivity, A (1%, 1cm), and molar extinction coefficient (ϵ) for cilostazol

Conc. (µg/ml)	Absorbance at 258.2 nm			Standard absorptivity {A (1%, 1cm) = A/bc}			
	I	II	ш	I	II	Ш	
5	0.211	0.209	0.210	422.0	418.0	420.0	
10	0.422	0.424	0.419	422.0	424.0	419.0	
15	0.630	0.628	0.632	420.0	418.7	421.3	
20	0.835	0.842	0.840	417.5	421.0	420.0	
25	1.052	1.050	1.048	420.8	420.0	419.2	
A (1%, 1 cm)* 420.23 dlg ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹							
ε ** 15527.5 1 Mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹							

* Mean of 15 standard absorptivity determinations; **Molar extinction coefficient $\varepsilon = A$ (1%, 1cm) Molecular weight/10

Validation parameter	% found* (mean)	SD	CV	SEx	SEσ	
Linear regression equation (LRE) method					
Linearity	100.47	0.0106	0.3238	0.0047	0.0033	
Accuracy	100.08	0.1603	0.1603	0.0653	0.0460	
Precision						
I. Repeatability	100.92	0.0820	0.5056	0.0366	0.0259	
II. Intermediate						
precision						
a. Days	101.13	0.0620	0.4548	0.0277	0.0196	
b. Analysts	100.86	0.0540	0.3954	0.0241	0.0170	
c. Instruments	100.34	0.6145	0.6625	0.2705	0.1910	
Robustness	100.46	0.6733	0.6680	0.3013	0.2130	
Standard absorptivity (SA) m	ustness 100.46 0.6733 0.6680 0.3013 0.2130 ndard absorptivity (SA) method					
Accuracy	100.12	0.2216	0.2210	0.0903	0.0636	
Precision						
I. Repeatability	99.28	0.1080	0.8556	0.0482	0.0341	
II. Intermediate						
precision						
a. Days	99.84	0.0620	0.4606	0.0277	0.0196	
b. Analysts	99.64	0.0500	0.4006	0.0223	0.0158	
c. Instruments	99.08	0.5915	0.5840	0.2645	0.1865	
Robustness	99.20	0.6697	0.6730	0.2997	0.2120	

Table 2: Results of validation parameters for cilostazol

* mean of six dilutions in triplicate; SD = standard deviation; CV = coefficient of variance; SEx = standard error of mean; and SE σ = standard error of standard deviation.

Basniwal et al

Conc. (µg/ml)	% found						
\rightarrow	LRE			SA			
Batch	10	15	20	10	15	20	
	101.70	101.20	100.60	100.40	99.93	99.35	
II	102.10	101.50	101.40	100.80	100.30	100.20	
III	100.90	100.80	101.20	99.70	99.60	99.95	
IV	101.20	102.00	101.50	99.90	100.70	100.03	
V	102.40	101.60	100.90	101.10	100.20	99.70	
VI	100.00	100.40	100.90	98.70	99.13	99.70	
Mean		101.23			99.96		
SD		0.611			0.592		
CV		0.603			0.592		
SEx		0.144			0.139		
SEσ		0.101			0.098		

Table 3: Results of cilostazol determination in tablets

LRE = linear regression equation method and SA = standard absorptivity method

The validated methods were applied to determine cilostazol in tablet dosage form, and the results were 101.23 % (SD = 0.611) by LRE method, and 99.96 % (SD = 0.592) by SA method. Mean of standard deviation (SEx) and standard error of standard deviation (SE σ) were far less than acceptable limits (see Table 3). Value of coefficient of variance for robustness was within acceptable limits.

DISCUSSION

The linear regression equation and standard absorptivity methods have been validated as per ICH guidelines, and results of validation parameters were within acceptable limits. Cilostazol was estimated by LRE and SA methods in tablet dosage form with standard deviation of 0.611 and 0.592, respectively. On comparing the two methods using the Ftest, the calculated value of F (1.065) was substantially less than the theoretical value at % confidence value; therefore, the 99 have comparable methods precision. Impurities or any other interfering substances with λ_{max} close to that of cilostazol (258.2 nm) would adversely affect the accuracy of the developed methods.. Further study on possible interfering substances will need to be carried out.

CONCLUSION

The developed methods for cilostazol are simple, rapid and economical with acceptable accuracy, precision, reproducibility and are robust to slight variations in experimental conditions. Thus, the validated methods may be used for routine analysis of cilostazol as the bulk drug and in tablets and other dosage forms where excipients will not interfere spectrally.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hashiguchi M, Ohna K, Nakasawa R, Kishino S, Mochizuki M, Shiga T, Cardiovas Drugs and Therapy, 2004; 18: 211-217.
- Sweetman SC, Ed, In: Martinadale: The Complete Drug Reference, 33rd Edn, Pharmaceutical Press, USA, 2002; Vol I, pp 258-860.
- Kishida M, Watanabe K, Tsuruoka T. Effects of cilostazal in patients with bradycardiac arterial fibrillation. J Cardiol, 2001; 37: 27-33.
- Madias JE. Cilostazol: an "intermittent claudicatio" remedy for management of third degree AV block. Chest, 2003; 123(4): 979-982.
- Bramer SL, Tata PN, Vengurlekar SS, and Brisson JH. Method for the quantitative analysis of cilostazol and its metabolites in human plasma using LC/MS/MS. J Pharm Biomed Anal, 2001; 26(4): 637-650.
- Lestari AD, Palupi T, Okarina B, Mochammad GY, Indrayanto G. HPLC determination of cilostazol in tablets and its validation. J Liquid Chrom Related Technol, 2004; 27(16): 2603-2612.

Basniwal et al

- Basniwal PK, Srivastava PK, Jain D. Hydrolytic Degradation Profile and RP-HPLC Estimation of Cilostazol in Tablet Dosage Form. Indian J Pharm Sci, 2008; 70(2): 222-224.
- 8. ICH "Text on Validation of Analytical Procedures" (International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of

Pharmaceutical for Human Use, Geneva, Jul 2000).

9. ICH "Validation of Analytical Procedure: Methodology" (International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceutical for Human Use, Geneva, Jul 2000).