Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research April 2011; 10 (2): 147-152 © Pharmacotherapy Group, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City, 300001 Nigeria. All rights reserved.

All rights reserved.

Available online at http://www.tjpr.org

**Research Article** 

# Glipizide Pharmacokinetics in Healthy and Diabetic Volunteers

# Muhammad Atif<sup>1\*</sup>, Mahmood Ahmad<sup>2</sup>, M Qamar-uz-zaman<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Asif<sup>2</sup>, Syed Azhar Syed Sulaiman<sup>1</sup>, Asrul Akmal Shafie<sup>3</sup>, I Masood<sup>1</sup>, Usman Minhas<sup>2</sup> and Najam Us-saqib<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Discipline of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University Sains Malaysia, 11800, Penang, Malaysia, <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy and Alternative Medicine, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Punjab, Pakistan, <sup>3</sup>Discipline of Social and Administrative Pharmacy, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University Sains Malaysia, 11800, Penang, Malaysia, <sup>4</sup>Ministry of Health, Government of Pakistan.

# Abstract

**Purpose:** Disease state may contribute to alteration in drug pharmacokinetics. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) on the pharmacokinetics of glipizide.

**Methods:** An open, single-dose, parallel design was applied to the study. Glipizide tablet (5 mg) was administered to healthy and diabetic human volunteers after over-night fast. Blood samples were collected, centrifuged and the plasma assayed using a sensitive and validated reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) method. Various pharmacokinetic parameters were computed from the data obtained.

**Results:** The AUC<sub>0...</sub> values for healthy and diabetic volunteers was  $1878 \pm 195$  and  $1723 \pm 138$  ng.h/ml, respectively; these values were not significantly different (p > 0.05). The  $t_{1/2}$  for healthy volunteers was  $3.04 \pm 0.27$  h while that for diabetic subjects was  $2.98 \pm 0.16$  h. Clearance for healthy and diabetic volunteers was  $0.59\pm0.06$  and  $0.64\pm0.05$  ml/min/kg, respectively. These and other pharmacokinetic parameters assessed were not significantly different between healthy and diabetic volunteers (p > 0.05).

**Conclusion:** Although glipizide showed slightly more rapid clearance from the body of diabetic volunteers than from healthy volunteers, this difference, like those for other pharmacokinetic parameters, was not significant (p > 0.05).

Keywords: Glipizide, Bioavailability, Pharmacokinetics, Plasma, Reversed Phase-High performance liquid chromatography.

Received: 13 September 2010

Revised accepted: 15 February 2011

\*Corresponding author: pharmacist\_atif@yahoo.com; Tel: +60-4-6566962; Cell: +60-14-7572474

*Trop J Pharm Res, April 2011;10 (2):*147

#### Atif et al

## INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is not a single disease but a group of clinically heterogeneous disorders with glucose intolerance in common. It encompasses many causallv unrelated different diseases and includes many etiologies of disturbed glucose tolerance [1,2]. The term, diabetes mellitus, is used to describe a syndrome characterized by chronic hyperalycemia and other disturbances of carbohydrate, fat and protein metabolism. Millions of people all around the world are suffering from diabetes mellitus with 5 - 10 % of them suffering from type I diabetes mellitus (otherwise known as insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, IDDM) and 90 – 95 % of them from type II diabetes (also known non-insulin mellitus as dependent diabetes mellitus, NIDDM). While the most suitable and commonly available treatment for type I diabetes mellitus is insulin, there are number of oral drug therapies available for the treatment of type II diabetes, biguanides e.a., and sulphonylureas.

The present study is essentially focused on glipizide which is a second generation sulfonylurea [3]. It contains not less than 98 % and not more than the equivalent of 102 % of I-cyclohexyl-3-{4-((2-(5-methylpyrazine-2carboxamido) ethyl)) benze-nesulphonyl} urea, calculated with reference to the dried substance [4]. Glipizide is widely used in the management of type II diabetes and. like other sulphonylureas, its overall mechanism of action is unknown. However, its predominant mechanism of action appears to be by increasing the secretion of insulin from the islets of Langerhans in both normal and diabetic humans and by decreasing the metabolic clearance rate of insulin [5]. Other proposed mechanisms include, increasing sensitivity of peripheral tissues to insulin effects, increasing the number of insulin receptors and increasing binding to and/or affinity of insulin for its receptors. Glipizide is almost completely absorbed after oral administration [6] but its absorption is delayed when taken with food. Therefore, glipizide is most effective when given at least 30 min before meals both in normal and diseased state [7]. Its initial dose is 5 mg once or twice daily with a maximum daily divided dose of 40 mg [6,8]. Peak blood levels are usually reached within 2 to 4 h after oral administration with a half-life of 3 to 7 h and duration of action of about 10 h in healthy human subjects [9]. Glipizide has an apparent volume of distribution of 0.13 l/kg in diabetic patients [10] and is contraindicated for patients with severe liver and renal disorders [3]. The most commonly encountered side effects include nausea, vomiting, headache, weakness, skin rashes, visual disturbances. aplastic anemia. impaired hepatic function, hypoglycemia and coma [6,11].

The objective of this study was to obtain pharmacokinetic data for glipizide in healthy and diabetic human volunteers with a view to ascertaining if there was pharmacokinetic variability between these two groups of subjects.

## EXPERIMENTAL

#### Experimental design

This study was an open, single-dose, parallel design. Twelve elderly diabetic and twelve elderly healthy human male volunteers (see Table 1) were included in the study. All subjects signed a written informed consent form before commencement of the study. The Pharmacy Ethical Committee of Faculty of Pharmacy, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur approved the study protocol for the human experiments. Each volunteer received a single tablet dose of glipizide 5mg (Minidiab<sup>®</sup>, Pharmacia & Upjohn, Pakistan) orally at about 8:00am, thirty minutes before breakfast [9.10.12]. The tablets were administered with 200 ml of water and total water intake did not exceed 1500 ml during the 12 h study period. The subjects remained seated either on a bed or a chair for the next 4 h. Standard lunch and dinner, not

exceeding 2000 kcal, were served 5 and 12 h, respectively, after taking the tablet [9]. During the study period, the volunteers were constantly monitored by a physician in the Bioequivalence Sampling Room, Faculty of Pharmacy and Alternative Medicine, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan.

Table	1:	Patient	demographic	parameters	and			
baseline serum chemistries								

Parameter	Healthy volunteers	Diabetic volunteers
Age (years)	50.8 ± 3.5	49.6 ±2.8
Weight (kg)	82.0 ± 3.2	80.3 ± 5.9
Glucose (mg/dl)	94.7 ± 6.68	237.0 ± 17.3
Albumin (g/dl)	4.21±0.19	4.10 ± 0.08
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.08 ± 0.10	0.98 ± 0.11

#### Selection criteria for volunteers

Healthy volunteers: Human subjects with good health as determined by medical history, physical examination and laboratory data (hematology, serum chemistry, urinalysis, creatinine), and having age and weight ranging from 45 - 55 years and 70 - 90 kg, respectively, were included in the study. Persons, who on physical examination showed signs suggesting abnormality of any organ system, or suffering from any infection or had any clinically significant abnormal laboratory values, were also excluded from the study. Volunteers with a known history of hyperglycaemia and hypoglycaemia were similarly excluded from the studv. Furthermore, volunteers who had donated blood or suffered blood loss of > 200 ml in the four weeks preceding the screening were not enrolled in the study. So also were persons having any history of alcohol or drug dependency or drug abuse, known allergy to sulfa drugs. congestive heart failure. pulmonary disease, renal insufficiency, use of tobacco products in last 2 years, or are using any medication which may act as inducer or inhibitor of hepatic enzymes [13].

**Diabetic volunteers:** The inclusion and exclusion criteria of diabetic volunteers were similar to those for healthy volunteers except

that fasting blood glucose level must be > 130 mg/dl. Only those patients who maintained a fasting blood glucose level over 130 mg/dl on prescribed diet and those who were without complication of diabetes mellitus were included in the study. Age and weight ranges were the same as for healthy volunteers. Patients having a history of insulin therapy were also excluded from the study [13].

#### Sample collection

A 20-gauge venous canula was inserted into the forearm of the volunteers for collection of blood samples. Blood samples were collected just before drug administration (zero time) and then at 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10 and 12 h after administration of glipizide tablet both for healthy and diabetic volunteers [9]. Blood (3 ml) was collected in heparinized tubes, centrifuged and the plasma separated was stored frozen at -20 °C until analysed [14].

#### HPLC analysis of glipizide

**Mobile phase:** The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile : 0.01M potassium di-hydrogen phosphate buffer (pH 3.5) in a ratio of 35 : 65 [15] which was degassed by sonication. It was eluted at a flow rate of 1.5 ml/min and the effluent was monitored at a wavelength of 275 nm. Run time for each injection was 20 min.

**Standard solutions:** An initial stock solution of glipizide was prepared by dissolving 100 mg of the drug in 100 ml of methanol: dichloromethane mixture (10 : 90). Standard solutions were obtained by diluting the initial stock solution with mobile phase [16] to give drug concentrations in the range of 0 - 1500 ng/ml. The solutions were stable for 3 to 4 months when stored at 4 <sup>o</sup>C, protected from light.

**Standard curve:** A standard curve encompassing the anticipated range of plasma glipizide concentration was constructed. Blank plasma (500 μL) was spiked with glipizide drug solutions to give concentrations of 50, 100, 200, 400, 800, 1000, 1200, 1400 and 1600 ng/ml in a 10 ml glass centrifuge tube [16] followed by the addition of 1 ml of 0.05M HCI [14] and vortexed for 45 s. It was extracted with 3 ml of toluene [14] by vortexing for 45 s, and then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min. The tubes were allowed to stand for 10 min and the clear supernatant was transferred to another glass tube and concentrated to dryness with a sample concentrator using nitrogen. The dry residue was reconstituted by vortexing with 75  $\mu L$  of mobile phase and 20 µl of it was injected into the chromatographic system [15].

#### Sample preparation

Plasma samples (500  $\mu$ L) obtained from healthy and diabetic volunteers containing glipizide were taken in 10 ml centrifuge tubes and 1 ml of 0.05M HCl was added. The samples were then treated as described above for spiked plasma used in preparing the standard curve.

#### Data analysis

Pharmacokinetic analysis was performed using the non-compartmental method of analysis with Microsoft Excel 2003. The parameters, (maximum C<sub>max</sub> plasma concentration) and (time to reach t<sub>max</sub> maximum plasma concentration), were determined from the concentration versus time curve. The area under the plasma concentration - time curve (AUC) was calculated by the trapezoidal rule. Statistical analysis was carried out with Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 15 (SPSS Inc, USA). Descriptive statistics was adopted to summarize the data and Mann-Whitney-U Test was applied as inferential statistics to observe association between various variables among the two groups. A p value less then 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

## RESULTS

The pharmacokinetic data are listed in Table 2. The value of  $C_{max}$  was 465 ± 60 and 472 ± 56 ng/ml for healthy and diabetic volunteers, respectively, while that of  $T_{max}$  was 2.00 ± 0.06 and 2.04  $\pm$  0.74 h for healthy and diabetic subjects, respectively. AUC<sub>0-∞</sub> for healthy and diabetic subjects was 1878 ± 195 and 1723 ± 138 ng h/ml, respectively. On the other hand, the  $AUMC_{0-\infty}$  (area under the mean curve) was 10169  $\pm$  1467 and 9510  $\pm$ 658 ng.h<sup>2</sup>/ml for healthy and diabetic volunteers, respectively. Mean resident time (MRT) for healthy volunteers was 5.24 ± 0.31 and for diabetic volunteers 5.63 ± 2.86 h. The value of Ke (elimination rate constant) for healthy and diabetic volunteers was 0.25 ± 0.02 and 0.24  $\pm$  0.01 h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, while  $t_{1/2}$  (half life) for healthy and diabetic subjects was  $3.04 \pm 0.27$  and  $2.98 \pm 0.16$  h, respectively. Volume of distribution (V<sub>d</sub>) for healthy volunteers was 0.15 ± 0.01 L and  $0.17 \pm 0.02$  L/kg for diabetic volunteers while clearance (CI) for healthy and diabetic volunteers was 0.59 ± 0.06 and 0.64 ± 0.05 ml/min/kg, respectively. For all the parameters, no statistical significance was found between healthy and diabetic volunteers at p < 0.05.

## DISCUSSION

This study was aimed at determining whether there is any variabilitv in alipizide pharmacokinetic in the diseased state. Few studies are available in the literature have addressed this aspect of glipizide pharmacokinetics. Based on the results obtained, it is evident that all pharmacokinetic parameters did not show statistical difference between normal and diseased (diabetics) subjects.

The pharmacokinetics of a drug relates to its absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion processes within the body. Variability in any of these processes will modify the target site concentration and its pharmacological effects. Physiological, path-

*Trop J Pharm Res, April 2011;10 (2):*150

#### Atif et al

Parameter	Healthy volunteers (Mean ± SEM)	Diabetic volunteers (Mean ± SEM)	<i>P</i> values for Mann-Whitney Test
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	465 ± 60.16	472 ± 55.53	0.64*
t <sub>max</sub> (h)	2 ± 0.06	2.04 ± 0.07	0.65*
AUC <sub>0-∞</sub> (ng h /ml)	1878 ± 195.140	1723 ± 138.53	0.56*
AUMC₀₋∞ (ng h²/ml)	10168.79 ± 1467.14	9510.22 ± 658.43	0.86*
MRT (h)	5.24 ± 0.31	5.63 ± 0.29	0.37*
Ke (h⁻¹)	0.25 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.01	0.77*
t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	3.04 ± 0.27	2.98 ± 0.16	0.75*
V <sub>d</sub> (L/Kg)	0.15 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.02	0.70*
CI (ml/min/kg)	0.59 ± 0.06	$0.64 \pm 0.05$	0.43*

**Table 2:** Pharmacokinetic parameters (mean  $\pm$  SEM) of glipizide (5 mg) administered orally to healthy and diabetic human volunteers

\* No statistically significant difference at p < 0.05 between human and diabetic volunteers

ological, genetic and/or pharmacological factors may contribute to these variations [17]. Any disease state that affects and changes the pathophysiologic condition of organs (especially liver and kidneys) may cause a significant variability in distribution, metabolism and excretion of drugs. Pathophysiological states of different organs are estimated by serum indicators, for example, serum albumin and creatinine levels.

The baseline serum chemistry (serum albumin and creatinine levels) of diabetic volunteers did not demonstrate any major differences from that of healthy volunteers. The small but insignificant differences observed indicate that diabetes at this stage had not altered the functions of major organs (liver and kidneys). As a result no significant differences in pharmacokinetics of glipizide were observed in the present study.

Although AUC and half life values showed a trend that appears to show that they were lower in diabetic volunteers, however, these pharmacokinetic differences are of less clinical importance. The plots in Fig 1 confirm the similarity of glipizide pharmacokinetics in healthy and human subjects.

A close related study by Kradjan *et al* [13], which investigated the effect of age and

disease on glipizide pharmacokinetics also reported insignificant differences with respect to these parameters. Several other studies [9,10,12,15,18-21] conducted on either healthy or diabetic human volunteers have buttressed the findings obtained in our study.



**Fig. 1:** Mean plasma concentration vs. time profile of orally administered glipizide (5 mg) in healthy ( $\blacktriangle$ ) and diabetic ( $\textcircled{\bullet}$ ) human volunteers

#### CONCLUSION

The study showed that at an orally administered dose of 5 mg, glipizide kinetics is not significantly different in healthy and diabetic subjects. Therefore, data obtained using healthy volunteers can be extended to diabetics. The observed minor variation in pharmacokinetics is of less clinical importance. Glipizide could be administered

*Trop J Pharm Res, April 2011;10 (2):*151

Atif et al

without adjusting the dosage and dose frequency in diabetics to attain desired therapeutic effects.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Dr. Tariq Ansari for his help in recruiting the diabetic patients for the study.

#### REFERENCES

- DeFronzo RA, Pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Med Clin North Am 2004; 88 (4): 787-835.
- 2. Korc M. Update on diabetes mellitus. Dis Markers 2004; 20 (3): 161-165.
- Lebovitz HE. Glipizide: a second-generation sulfonylurea hypoglycemic agent. Pharmacology, pharmacokinetics and clinical use. Pharmacotherapy 1985; 5(2): 63-77.
- 4. British Pharmacopoeia 1999. Stationery Office Books; 99 edn (April 1999; p. 701.
- Barzilai N, Groop PH, Groop L, DeFronzo R. A novel mechanism of Glipizide sulfonylurea action: decreased metabolic clearance rate of insulin. Acta Diabetol 1995; 32(4): 273-278.
- Joel G. Hardman LE. Limbird. Goodman and Glilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 9th edn, McGraw Hill; 1996. pp 1507-1519, 1746.
- Wahlin BE, Melander A, Sartor G, Schersten B. Influence of food intake on the absorption and effect of Glipizide in diabetics and in healthy subjects. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1980; 18 (3): 279-283.
- Anthony CM, David MO, Brian W. Clarke's Analysis of Drug and Poisons, 3rd ed, The Pharmaceutical Press, UK; 2004. p 1081.
- Suheil Z, Munib S, Ali Q, Munir G, Bassan A, Maha T, Majed AR, Hasan MA. Comparative single dose bioequivalence study of two brands of glipizide. Curr Therapeut Res 1995; 56(1): 38-46.
- 10. Otsman J, Christenson B, Jansson L. The antidiabetic effect and pharmacokinetic

properties of glipizide. Acta Med Scand 1981; 210: 173-180.

- 11. Shorr RI, Ray WA, Daugherty JR, Griffin MR. Individual sulfonyl-ureas and serious hypoglycemia in older people. J Am Geriatr Soc 1996; 44 (7): 751-755.
- 12. Kobayashi KA, Bauer LA, Horn JR, Opheim K, Wood F Jr, Kradjan WA. Glipizide pharmacokinetics in young and elderly volunteers. Clin Pharm 1988; 7 (3): 224-228.
- 13. Kradjan WA, Kobayashi KA, Bauer LA, Horn JR, Opheim KE. Wood FJ. Glipizide pharmacokinetics: effects of age, diabetes, and multiple dosing. J. Clin. Pharmacol 1989; 29: 1121-1127.
- 14. Elisabeth WH, Arne M. High performance liquid chromato-graphic determination of Glipizide and some other sulfonylureas in serum. J Chromatography 1979; 162: 541-546.
- 15. Emilsson H. High-performance liquid chromategraphic determination of Glipizide in human plasma and urine. J Chromatogr 1987; 421(2): 319-326.
- Sener A.G, Akkan W.J, Malaisse. Standardized procedure for assay and identification of hypoglycemic sulfonylureas in human plasma. Acta Diabetol 1995; 32: 64-68.
- 17. Matthew DW, Jonathan GH, Iain KM. Pharmacokinetic variation. Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Medicine 2008; 9(8): 369-371.
- Dhawan, Singh B, Garg SK, Hota D, Dash RJ, Singla AK, Sinha VR. Bioavailability of immediate- and extended- release formulations of glipizide in healthy male volunteers. Clin Pharmacokinetic 2006; 45(3): 317-324.
- 19. Jaber LA, Ducharme MP, Halapy H. The effects of obesity on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of glipizide in patients with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring 1996; 18 (1): 6-13.
- Pentikainen PJ, Neuvonen PJ, Penttila A. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of glipizide in healthy volunteers. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther Toxicol 1983; 21(2): 98-107
- 21. Donald J Birkett. Pharmacokinetics Made Easy, 2nd edition, McGraw Hill Australia; 2002; p 18.