Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research December 2015; 14 (12): 2293-2297

ISSN: 1596-5996 (print); 1596-9827 (electronic)

© Pharmacotherapy Group, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City, 300001 Nigeria.

All rights reserved.

Available online at http://www.tjpr.org http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v14i12.20

Original Research Article

The Approach of Pharmacy Students Towards Communication of Medication Errors in Karachi, Pakistan

Wajiha Iffat^{1*}, Riffat Yasmin¹, Sadia Shakeel¹, Fariya Zafar², Shehla Imam³, Abida Sultana⁴, Tehseen Quds¹ and Yumna Nida Yousuf¹

¹Dow College of Pharmacy, Dow University of Health Sciences, ²Faculty of Pharmacy, Zia uddin Medical University, ³Faculty of Pharmacy, Jinnah University for Women, ⁴Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan

*For correspondence: Email: wajiha.iffat@duhs.edu.pk; Tel: +923343422348

Received: 27 December 2014

Revised accepted: 2 October 2015

Abstract

Purpose: To assess pharmacy students' knowledge of communicating medication errors in Karachi, Pakistan.

Methods: The study design was cross-sectional and conducted from February to May 2014. A previously validated questionnaire was adopted, modified and distributed to final year pharmacy students in four universities of Karachi. Descriptive statistics were used to present students' demographic information and their response to the questionnaire items. Pearson chi square test and Logistic regression model were executed to evaluate the association of gender and institution of students with their response.

Results: Out of 600 survey questionnaires distributed, only 464 were returned in useable form, giving a response rate of 77.33 %. A majority of the students showed moderately positive attitude towards general communication and training in communicating medication errors. More than 40 % of the respondents were not satisfied with the training they received in communicating and reporting incidence of medication errors. Incorrect drug (14.65 %), incorrect dose (8.40 %) and improper storage of medicines (7.97 %) were the most common errors observed by the students during clerkship.

Conclusion: The findings indicate the need for a more standardized approach to improving knowledge of medication errors as well as training in the communication of occurrence of medication errors.

Keywords: Communication, Medication error, Pharmacy students, Standardized training

Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research is indexed by Science Citation Index (SciSearch), Scopus, International Pharmaceutical Abstract, Chemical Abstracts, Embase, Index Copernicus, EBSCO, African Index Medicus, JournalSeek, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), African Journal Online, Bioline International, Open-J-Gate and Pharmacy Abstracts

INTRODUCTION

An error occurring in drug prescribing, dispensing, administration, or monitoring is known as medication error [1]. These errors range from minor discomfort to substantial morbidity that may prolong hospitalization or even lead to death among hospitalized and ambulatory patients [2]. Sources of medication errors include: excess work load, lack of professional competency, inappropriate training,

rush at pharmacy, inadequate availability of patient information, poor communication, self administration of drugs by ambulatory patients etc [3]. A medication error is categorized as commission or omission error, depending upon taking incorrect action against an error [4].

Mostly the errors occur during prescribing, followed by drug administration, dispensing and transcription. In prescription writing, the errors occurred owing to the illegible handwriting,

ambiguous and incomplete orders. Interventions in prescriptions by pharmacists can minimize the harms associated with poor prescribing of medicines.

Wilson and Sullivan stated that pharmacist is of great help to ensure medication safety by preventing the risk associated with medications error [5]. For this purpose, FDA planned to improve pharmacists' understanding about their participation in ensuring patient's safety from using different medicines [6]. Several studies have conducted on this issue [7,8].

This study was designed to evaluate the understanding of undergraduate pharmacy students regarding the communication of medication errors, as no such study has been conducted recently in Karachi, Pakistan. In other parts of the world, few studies have been conducted on the issue [9,10].

EXPERIMENTAL

Study design and setting

This transversal study was conducted from February to May 2014 by adopting a prevalidated questionnaire distributed to senior pharmacy final-year pharmacy students of different private and public sector universities in Karachi. Around 150 questionnaires were distributed in each university. The sample size was calculated by Open Epi® online software and non-probability sampling technique was adopted. Items rated on a Likert scale ranging from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree.

There was no earlier estimation regarding the knowledge of communication of medication errors in Pakistan. Therefore, it was assumed that the prevalence of knowledge was 50 % and calculated the required sample for our survey with an allowable error of 5 % and 95 % confidence limits. Overall, four hundred and sixty four students participated in the study. Students were encouraged to fill up the given questionnaire on spot. After completion, the questionnaires were subsequently collected for further analysis.

Ethical approval

Prior verbal ethical approval was obtained from each institution's head of department to instigate the study in their institute. Each institution's head of department and the students' participating in the study were briefed about the rationale of the study. Participants were assured about the

confidentiality of their personal information and responses.

Data collection

A pre-validated questionnaire used in other studies was adapted and modified [11]. It consisted of 15 items exploring the background, communication attitudes, training in communication about medication errors, ethical and practical measures regarding medication errors and types of errors commonly observed during the clerkship.

Statistical analysis

The completed questionnaires were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 20.0, Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics was used to analyze students' demographic information and their response to the questionnaire items.

Pearson chi square test and logistic regression model were executed to evaluate the association of gender and institution of students with their response to the questionnaire, and p < 0.05 was set as the level of significance.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics

Out of 600 survey questionnaires, 511 were returned back and only 464 were in useable form. Hence the response rate was 77.33 %. Table 1 showed the demographic of the study population. The study population comprised of 85.56 % females. Near about 55.6 % of the participants belonged to the public sector and 44.4 % belonged to private sector universities.

Responses of the students regarding factors providing convenience in dealing with medication errors showed that 80.6 % of the students feel more comfortable in dealing with patients of same gender. More than 85 % students feel more convenient in dealing with literate patients and 75 % students select hospital as an area of ideal pharmacy practice site.

Table 1: Demographic profile of students

| Variable | N (%) |
|----------------|-------------|
| Gender | |
| Male | 67 (14.43) |
| Female | 397 (85.56) |
| Institute | |
| Public sector | 258 (55.60) |
| Private sector | 206 (44.4) |

Students' knowledge regarding the communication of medication errors

Responses of students regarding general communication attitudes and training about medication errors are recorded in Table 2. Majority of the students showed moderately positive attitude towards general communication and training in communicating medication errors. More than 40 % of the respondents were not contented with the training they received on how to communicate the patients about the incidence of a medication error and how to report a medication error. Students felt more comfortable in reporting such errors to peer as compared to supervisor.

Influence of gender and institutes on students' opinions was studied using Pearson chi square test, a non-parametric test. The influence of gender had a significant impact on their responses i.e. they know what to tell patients when a medication error occurs (p = 0.016) and how to report a medication error (p = 0.002). Compared to gender, institutes of respondents have shown more significant influence on majority opinions i.e. they received sufficient

training on communication issues that contribute to medication errors (p < 0.0001), they received sufficient training on how to communicate with patients about the occurrence of a medication error (p = 0.003) and they received sufficient training on how to communicate with other healthcare professional about the occurrence of a medication error (p = 0.044).

Logistic regression model was used to evaluate the association of gender and institute of students with their response. Male students more likely to consider the extent of their knowledge provide convenience in dealing with medication errors; while students of private institutes are more likely to consider the affect of adequate training received on communicating medication errors provide convenience in dealing with medication errors.

Ethical and practical measures

A majority of the students (34.69 %) considered honesty and empathy with patient as an ethical measure that should be adopted when dealing with patients who have been victim of medication error.

Table 2: Students' perceptions of training and knowledge regarding the communication of medication errors

| | Strongly | | | | Strongly | |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|
| Statement | agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | disagree | Mean ± SD |
| I received sufficient training on communication issues | | | | | | |
| that contribute to medication errors | 19 (4.09) | 78 (16.81) | 142 (30.60) | 180 (38.79) | 45 (9.69) | 2.66 ± 0.99 |
| I received sufficient training on how to communicate | | | | | | |
| with patients about the occurrence of a medication | | | | | | |
| error. | 24 (5.17) | 92 (19.82) | 108 (23.27) | 197 (42.45) | 43 (9.26) | 2.69 ± 1.05 |
| I received sufficient training on how to communicate | | | | | | |
| with other healthcare professional about the | | | | | | |
| occurrence of a medication error. | 22 (4.74) | 102 (21.98) | 135 (29.09) | 172 (37.06) | 33 (7.11) | 2.80 ± 1.01 |
| I know what to tell patients when a medication error | | | | | | |
| occurs. | 17 (3.66) | 54 (11.63) | 128 (27.58) | 207 (44.61) | 58 (12.5) | 2.49 ± 0.97 |
| I know how to report a medication error | 19 (4.09) | 59 (12.71) | 137 (29.52) | 196 (42.24) | 53 (11.42) | 2.55 ± 0.98 |
| I know how to identify how a medication error may | | | | | | |
| have been caused. | 37 (7.97) | 216 (46.55) | 148 (31.89) | 55 (11.85) | 8 (1.72) | 3.47 ± 0.86 |
| I felt comfortable reporting to a fellow colleague/peer | | | | | | |
| an intercepted or actual medication error that | | | | ,,,,, | | |
| someone else made in the pharmacy | 42 (9.05) | 197 (42.45) | 158 (34.05) | 55 (11.85) | 12 (2.58) | 3.43 ± 0.90 |
| I felt comfortable reporting to a supervisor an | | | | | | |
| intercepted or actual medication error that someone | | () | | | (0.00) | |
| else made in the pharmacy. | 42 (9.05) | 179 (38.57) | 154 (33.18) | 72 (15.51) | 17 (3.66) | 3.33 ± 0.96 |
| I observed at least 1 hospital pharmacy where | | | | | | |
| everyone knows when an error has been caused, | | | | | | |
| why it happened, and how to avoid it from happening | 45 (0.00) | 404 (04 00) | 400 (00 50) | 405 (00 00) | 00 (0.40) | 0.40 - 4.00 |
| again. | 45 (9.69) | 161 (34.69) | 123 (26.50) | 105 (22.62) | 30 (6.46) | 3.18 ± 1.08 |
| I observed at least 1 hospital pharmacy where | 54 | | | | | |
| medication errors are routinely reported and | 51 | 107 (07 07) | 117 (DE 01) | 100 (00 44) | 27 (7 07) | 204.444 |
| documented. | (10.99) | 127 (27.37) | 117 (25.21) | 132 (28.44) | 37 (7.97) | 3.04 ± 1.14 |
| I observed at least 1 hospital pharmacy which | | | | | | |
| routinely develops procedures to prevent errors from | /1 /Q Q2\ | 1/1/(20/20) | 120 (27 90) | 116 (25) | 27 (7 07) | 3.07 ± 1.10 |
| occurring again | 41 (0.03) | 141 (30.38) | 129 (21.00) | 116 (25) | 37 (7.97) | 3.07 ± 1.10 |

Reassuring the patient that steps will be taken to reduce errors (16.16 %), remain calm and be patient (14 %) and ensuring awareness of situation to all including the patient (12.28 %) were also considerable measures.

Table 3: Practical measures adopted with patients who have been victim of medication error

| Practical measure | % |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Collect and verify information | 17.24 |
| Check and verify medication order | 10.34 |
| Error documentation | 4.09 |
| Reporting the error | 4.74 |
| All of them | 63.36 |

Responses of the students regarding practical measures are recorded in Table 3. Near about 63 % considered that they will collect and verify all information, check and verify the medication order, error documentation and reporting the error to supervisor as practical measures that should be adopted when dealing with patients who have been victim of medication error.

Awareness of type of error

Awareness of students about the types of errors commonly observed in a hospital setting is recorded in Table 4. More than 45 % of the students were aware of different types of medication errors frequently occurring in the hospital.

Table 4: Types of errors commonly observed by pharmacy students

| Error type | % |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Incorrect drug | 14.65 |
| Wrong patient | 7.11 |
| Incorrect dose | 8.40 |
| Mislabeling | 4.52 |
| Improperly prepared IV medication | 2.80 |
| Incorrect quantity | 3.66 |
| Improper storage | 7.97 |
| Mishandling of controlled drugs | 4.09 |
| All of them | 46.76 |

DISCUSSION

This explanatory study was conducted with the primary objective to assess pharmacy students' knowledge of communicating medication errors. As it would provide an understanding how future pharmacists learn the role that communication plays in the occurrence of, reporting, and responding to medication errors. The results of the survey indicate more than 40 % of the respondents were not contented with the training

they received on communicating with patients about the incidence of a medication error and to report a medication error. These parallel observations were also reported by Noland and Rickles that majority of respondents received inadequate or no formal useful training on how to with the issues relevant to the communication of medication errors [11,12]. However, research has shown that pharmacists who receive more education and training on reporting misadventures are more likely to report them [13]. Student comfort levels vary mainly upon who made the error and to whom the error would be reported. Respondents articulated being most comfy reporting an error to a peer as compared to supervisor.

Majority of the students (34.69 %) considered honesty and empathy with patient as an ethical measure that should be adopted when dealing with patients who have been victim of medication error. The ability of healthcare provider to accurately identify, disclose the error with honesty and transparency and report medication patient ensure safety. Healthcare professional can minimize medication errors through support and learning from others to cooperate with the organization in preventing recurring errors [14]. Majority of the respondents participating in this study were aware of different types of medication errors. However, it was found that incorrect drug (14.65 %), incorrect dose (8.40 %) and storage (7.97 %) were the most common error observed by the pharmacy students during clerkship. Similar findings have been revealed by Demehin et al identifying wrong drug and wrong dose errors in the teaching hospital [15].

Logistic regression model was used to evaluate the association of gender and institute of students with their response. Male students more likely to consider the extent of their knowledge provide convenience in dealing with medication errors; while students of private institutes are more likely to consider the affect of adequate training received on communicating medication errors provide convenience in dealing with medication errors.

Pharmacists being the drug expert are more likely to detect drug misadventures as compared to other healthcare professionals. Their role has been proven to improve many outcomes regarding patient health, including greater patient safety, improved disease and therapy management, identification and prevention of adverse drug events and improved quality of life [10]. Research has shown that pharmacists working in an inpatient pediatric setting could

have prevented 94 percent of probable drug misadventures [1]. Future pharmacists should be more prepared to recognize the clinical responsibilities by increasing emphasis on clinical education [9].

Pharmacy students should be effectively trained and ensure preeminent practices to generate a learning culture around the incidence and prevention of medication errors. Future research should explore other organizational factors in order to focus on effective ways to respond to and prevent medication errors in the interest of patient care.

Limitations of the study

This study encountered some limitations. The study did not include practicing pharmacists who would have presented an actual scenario of communication of medication errors. Furthermore, participants in this study were all pharmacy students drawn from different institutes of Karachi and therefore cannot be generalized to the whole of Pakistan.

CONCLUSION

The findings indicate the need to adopt a more standardized approach to improve the knowledge of medication errors among pharmacy students as well as training in communicating the occurrence of medication error.

REFERENCES

- Kaushal R, Bates DW, Landrigan C, McKenna KJ, Clapp MD, Federico F. Medication errors and adverse drug events in pediatric inpatients. JAMA. 2001; 285(16): 211-220.
- 2. Barber N, Rawlins M, Franklin BD. Reducing prescribing error: competence, control, and culture. Qual Saf Health Care. 2003; 12(suppl 1): i29-i32.
- 3. Cohen MR. Medication errors: Am Pharm Assoc; 2007.
- 4. Thomsen LA, Winterstein AG, Søndergaard B, Haugbølle LS, Melander A. Systematic review of the incidence

- and characteristics of preventable adverse drug events in ambulatory care. Ann Pharmacother. 2007; 41(9): 1411-1426.
- 5. Wilson K, Sullivan M. Preventing medication errors with smart infusion technology. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2004; 61(2): 177-183.
- Plan FSA. Charting Our Course for the Future. Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services, US Food and Drug Administration; 2007. 2011.
- Bates DW, Leape LL, Cullen DJ, Laird N, Petersen LA, Teich JM. Effect of computerized physician order entry and a team intervention on prevention of serious medication errors. JAMA. 1998; 280(15): 1311-1316.
- Iffat W, Shakeel S, Rahim N, Anjum F, Neesar S, Ghayas S. Pakistani physicians knowledge and attitude towards reporting adverse drug reactions. Afr J Pharm Pharacol. 2014; 8(14): 379-385.
- Johnson MS, Latif DA, Gordon B. Medication error instruction in schools of pharmacy curricula: a descriptive study. Am J Pharm Educ. 2002; 66(4): 364-371.
- Sukkari SR, Sasich LD, Tuttle DA, Abu-Baker AM, Howell
 H. Development and evaluation of a required patient
 safety course. Am J Pharm Educ. 2008; 72(3): Article
 65
- Rickles NM, Noland CM, Tramontozzi A, Vinci MA.
 Pharmacy student knowledge and communication of medication errors. Am J Pharm Educ. 2010; 74(4): Article 60
- 12. Noland CM, Rickles NM. Reflection and Analysis of How Pharmacy Students Learn to Communicate About Medication Errors. Health commun. 2009; 24(4): 351-360
- Su C, Ji H, Su Y. Hospital pharmacists' knowledge and opinions regarding adverse drug reaction reporting in Northern China. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2010; 19(3): 217-222.
- 14. Denham CR. Trust: The 5 rights of the second victim. J Patient Saf. 2007; 3(2): 107-119.
- Demehin AI, Babalola OO, Erhun WO. Pharmacists and Nurses perception of medication errors in a Nigerian University Teaching Hospital. Int J Health Res. 2008; 1(2): 51-61.