Development and validation of a chromatographic method for quantification of rasagiline in human plasma
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Abstract

Purpose: To develop a sensitive, reliable and cost-effective bioanalytical method for the pharmacokinetic analysis of rasagiline in human plasma.

Method: Rasagiline was extracted by liquid-liquid extraction method and analyzed by reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a mixture of ammonium acetate (pH 5.8) and acetonitrile (55:45, v/v) as mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The separation was performed on a Lichrosphere reverse-phase (RP) C18 column (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μm particle size) at ambient temperature and rasagiline was detected at a wavelength of 265 nm by ultra-violet UV detection. The method was validated according to European Medicine Agency (EMA) guidelines.

Results: The developed method was linear over a concentration range of 0.5 - 20 µg/ml with r² ≥ 0.999 in human plasma. Run time was 10 min with rasagiline peak appearing at 7 min with no interference. Relative recovery and relative standard deviation (RSD) for accuracy and precision were within the acceptable limits prescribed in EMA guidelines. Rasagiline remained stable in human plasma for 24 h at room temperature, after three freeze and thaw cycles and also for 3 months at -20 °C.

Conclusion: A simple and reliable method has been successfully developed and validated for the determination of rasagiline concentration in human plasma.
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INTRODUCTION

Rasagiline mesylate (RM) is chemically designated N-Propargyl-1(R)-aminoindan mesylate and is currently approved as initial monotherapy or adjunct therapy to levodopa for the treatment of idiopathic Parkinson’s disease in the United States and Europe [1,2]. Rasagiline improves dopaminergic transmission in Parkinson Disease [3] and is believed to produce its anti-parkinson effect by selectively inhibiting monoamine oxidase B enzyme [4-6].

The oral dose of rasagiline is 1 mg per day. The absorption of rasagiline is not affected by food
and oral bioavailability is approximately 36% in humans. After oral administration, it reaches peak plasma concentration in an hour. The volume of distribution and elimination half-life of RM in humans is 87 L and 0.6 - 2 h respectively. RM is reported to follow linear pharmacokinetics in the dose range of 1 – 10 mg when administered through the oral route [7]. It undergoes extensive hepatic metabolism by the enzyme CYP1A2 which shows genetic variability in humans (slow, intermediate and fast metabolizers) [8]. The enzyme activity is also influenced by smoking that can change pharmacokinetics of a drug [9].

A few bio-analytical methods have been reported for the quantification of rasagiline in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form [10]. The quantification of drug in human plasma has been done using expensive and advanced instruments like Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass spectrophotometer which require many processing steps for sample preparation [7,11-13]. A method for estimating rasagiline in different plasma matrices using HPLC with UV detector has been reported with limit of detection (LOD) 150 ng/mL and limit of quantification (LOQ) 450 ng/mL however, this method was applied for pharmacokinetic study in rabbits only [12].

Despite available methods, a simple, sensitive and cost effective method using HPLC with UV detection is still required to quantify rasagiline in human plasma for subsequent pharmacokinetic study as it is necessary to study the effects of different factors on rasagiline pharmacokinetics in human. Genetic variations, pathological states, diet and smoking status have been reported to affect the pharmacokinetics of different drugs resulting in impaired responses in patients [14,15]. The objective of this study is to develop and validate a reliable & cost-effective HPLC method for the determination of rasagiline in human plasma for pharmacokinetic studies with the advantage of improved linearity, LOQ, LOD accuracy, precision, recovery and quantification and stability under freeze thaw cycles.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

The chemicals and solvents used in this study were analytical and HPLC grade. Acetonitrile, methanol and 10 mM ammonium acetate were bought from Merck Darmstadt, Germany. Rasagiline standard was provided by Searle, Pakistan. The citrated human plasma was obtained from Bioequivalence Study (BeSt) centre, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan.

**Sample preparation**

A stock solution of 1 mg/mL concentration of rasagiline was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of rasagiline standard in 10 mL of methanol. Six calibration standard solutions were prepared by serial dilution. The final concentrations in standard solutions were 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0 and 20.0 μg/mL. The quality control (QC) samples were prepared from a separate stock solution of 1 mg/mL concentration. The lower quality control (LQC), median quality control (MQC and higher quality control (HQC) samples were prepared as 0.7, 6.0 and 15.0 μg/mL, respectively.

**Extraction**

The extraction of rasagiline from plasma samples was performed by mixing 600 μL of plasma with 900 μL acetonitrile in polypropylene tubes. The tubes were vortex mixed for 2 min and centrifuged at 400 g for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred to another polypropylene tube and evaporated to dryness at 37 °C. The residue was reconstituted in 600 μL of mobile phase and 80 μL was injected into HPLC for analysis.

**Chromatographic conditions**

The HPLC system comprised of an LC-20AT VP pump, an SIL-20AC HT auto-sampler, UV detector SPD-M20A, CTO 20 AC and CBM 20A (Shimadzu, Germany). A mixture of 10 mM ammonium acetate (NH₄CH₃COO) with pH adjusted to 5.8 and acetonitrile (55:45, v/v) was used as mobile phase. The buffer was filtered through a 0.22 μm (Millipore) before mixing with acetonitrile. The separation was performed on Lichrosphere C18 column (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μm particle size) equipped with a guard column of the same packing material at ambient temperature. The mobile phase was pumped at a flow rate of 1 mL/min and rasagiline was detected at 265 nm wavelength using a UV detector.

**Validation**

The method was validated in accordance with the standards of good laboratory practice (GLP). Method validation was performed following EMA guidelines for Bioanalytical method validation [16]. Method validation included selectivity, linearity, accuracy, precision (intra- and inter-day), stability and recovery.

**Selectivity**

Selectivity was evaluated by comparing the chromatogram of plasma samples spiked with
20.0 and 0.5 µg/mL concentrations of rasagiline with drug free plasma samples prepared by same method as used for extraction of rasagiline in spiked samples.

**Linearity**

Five calibration curves were plotted each comprising six calibration standards with concentrations ranging from 0.5 - 20.0 µg/mL. The peak area of each calibration standard was plotted against the corresponding concentration of rasagiline to obtain the respective calibration curve and coefficient of determination as an evidence of linearity of each graph. The concentration of each calibration standard was back calculated from same calibration curve in order to determine the limit of quantification (LOQ). The lowest level in calibration curve with back calculated concentration accepted for accuracy and precision was considered as LOQ.

**Accuracy and precision**

The quality control samples (n = 6) of LQC (0.7 µg/mL), MQC (6.0 µg/mL), and HQC (15.0 µg/mL) were prepared and analyzed for evaluation of within run accuracy and precision. The concentration of rasagiline in each QC sample was determined through a simultaneously obtained calibration curve in order to determine the limit of quantification (LOQ). The accuracy was calculated as mean percentage relative recovery by comparing the observed concentrations with nominal concentrations at each level of quality control. The precision at each level was evaluated as percentage relative standard deviation (%RSD).

**Stability**

The stability of rasagiline in plasma was assessed at LQC and HQC levels. The stability at room temperature was evaluated by preparing and storing the six replicates of both QC levels at 25 °C for 24 h. For freeze and thaw stability, the concentration of rasagiline was determined in both QC levels (n = 6) after three freeze and thaw cycles with 24 h gap between each cycle. The long-term stability was assessed by storing the plasma samples of LQC & HQC levels at -20°C for more than 3 months. For each set of stability evaluation, the concentration of rasagiline was determined against the curve plotted from freshly prepared standard samples.

**Recovery**

The absolute recovery of rasagiline from the drug spiked plasma across QC samples when compared with solvent equivalent samples was within 88.46 - 96.21 % range, with % RSD less than 4.14 at each of the concentration levels. High recovery value waives off the use of internal standard in the method and low % RSD value shows that the solvent selected for the method was efficient.

**RESULTS**

**Developed method**

The run time of rasagiline was 10 min with a peak appearing at 7 min following optimization of mobile phase and HPLC conditions.

**Validation data**

**Selectivity**

The chromatograms of plasma samples spiked with 20 µg/mL and 0.5 µg/mL rasagiline were compared with the drug free plasma sample. Rasagiline peak appeared at 7 min in spiked samples (Figure 1, b & c) while no peak was detectable at the same retention time in drug free plasma (Figure 1, a). Thus, the developed method is selective for rasagiline and no interference with plasma proteins was found.

**Linearity**

The calibration curves were constructed by plotting concentration of drug versus peak area. Linearity was determined through linear regression analysis and the least square regression method. It was measured over a concentration range of 0.5 to 20 µg/mL. All plotted calibration curves were linear with coefficient of determination $r^2 \geq 0.999$. The lowest level in calibration curve with acceptable accuracy and precision was 0.5 µg/mL (Table 1) and this is the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) of our method.

**Accuracy and precision**

The percentage relative recovery for accuracy and relative standard deviation (RSD %) for precision are shown in Table 2. The values for within run accuracy ranged from 94.8 to 110 % while RSD was ≤ 3.99 %. Similarly, the results for between-run accuracy were 96.8 to 98.5 % and RSD was ≤ 3.59 %. The results for all the quality control levels were within the acceptable criteria described by EMA guideline which is ± 15
% for accuracy and ≤ 15 % for precision.

Stability

The results for stability of rasagiline in plasma at HQC and LQC levels are shown in Table 3. Rasagiline was stable after four freeze-thaw cycles with mean relative recovery of 101.3 and 97.7 % and the RSD of 1.30 and 0.90 % for HQC and LQC, respectively.

The mean percentage relative recovery after storage of plasma samples at room temperature for 24 hours was 97.8 and 98.3 % while RSD was 1.22 and 2.82 % for HQC and LQC, respectively. Rasagiline was also stable after storage of plasma samples at -20 ºC for > 3 months. The mean percentage relative recovery was 99.1 and 96.4 % while RSD was 2.46 and 2.08 % for HQC and LQC, respectively. The stability of rasagiline in plasma samples ensures that rasagiline samples can be analyzed after repeated freeze and thaw cycles and can also be stored at -20 ºC for > 3 months.

Recovery

The absolute recovery of rasagiline from the drug spiked plasma for HQC, MQC and LQC when compared with solvent equivalent samples are shown in Table 4. The values of absolute recovery for HQC, MQC and LQC were 95.02, 93.16 and 91.44 %, respectively while %RSD values were ≤ 3.18 %. The recovery of all the three levels of QC samples is > 90 %. High recovery value waives off the use of internal standard in the method and low % RSD value shows that the solvent selected for the method is efficient. The data are presented in Table 3.

Table 1: Back calculated concentrations of rasagiline in calibration standard solutions (n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Back calculated conc</th>
<th>Nominal Concentrations (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD (ng/mL)</td>
<td>20.1±0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>100.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Accuracy and precision (within-run and between-run, n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accuracy and precision</th>
<th>Nominal concentration (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 (HQC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within-run&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD (ng/mL)</td>
<td>14.2±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-run&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD (ng/mL)</td>
<td>14.5±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Analyzed on same day; <sup>b</sup> analyzed on 6 different days
Table 4: Rasagiline recovery from plasma and solvent in HQC, MQC and LQC (n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery</th>
<th>Nominal concentration (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 (HQC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma (mean±SD)</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent (mean±SD)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>95.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Stability of rasagiline in plasma samples (n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stability</th>
<th>Nominal concentration (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 (HQC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeze-thaw stabilitya</td>
<td>15.01±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD (ng/mL)</td>
<td>15.01±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>101.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability at room tempb</td>
<td>14.67±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD (ng/mL)</td>
<td>14.67±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>97.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term stabilityc</td>
<td>14.86±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD (ng/mL)</td>
<td>14.86±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a After four freeze and thaw cycles; b After storage at room temperature for 24 h; c After storage at -20°C for 6 months

DISCUSSION

Different analytical procedures in terms of mobile phase composition, pH, column selection and detectors have been adopted for the determination of rasagiline [2,7,10,11]. Among these methods some were developed by using expensive mass spectrometry technique [7,11] few are reported for quantification of dosage form & pharmaceutical preparations in chemical solvents [2,10]. An HPLC method with UV detection was reported for quantification of rasagiline from different plasma matrices and was applied for pharmacokinetic studies in rabbits [12]. Therefore to the best of our knowledge this is the first HPLC method with UV detector developed for quantification of rasagiline with LOQ 0.7 µg/mL in human plasma.

The retention time of 7 minutes was comparable with that reported earlier using an ODS column [17]. A shorter retention time (5.78 min) has also been reported however, the ratio of acetonitrile was much higher (60 %) in that method [12] when compared to our method (45%). More sensitive methods with LLOQ up to 5 pg/mL have been reported but utilize complex and expensive LCMS techniques [7] which is difficult in the resource limited settings of Pakistan and other developing countries. The results for all the quality control levels were within the acceptable criteria described by EMA guideline which is ± 15 % for accuracy and ≤ 15 % for precision.

CONCLUSION

A new method has been developed and successfully validated for the determination of the concentration of rasagiline in human plasma. This HPLC method is simple, sensitive and reliable, and would be suitable for pharmacokinetic studies of rasagiline in humans.
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