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#### Abstract

Purpose: To investigate the mechanism underlying the anti-arthritic properties of Pterocephalus hookeri used for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Methods: Aqueous methanol extract of P. hookeri was analyzed using UPLC-Q-TOF/MS, a Waters Acquity UPLCR BEH C18 column ( $2.1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and gradient elution with acetonitrile-formic acid-water. Targets and related pathways were predicted by PharmMapper database and Molecule Annotation System, respectively. The network was built with Cytoscape software. Results: Forty compounds were identified, comprising 17 iridoid glycosides, 7 phenolic acids, 13 triterpenes, and 3 other compounds. A total of 38 targets and 44 pathways associated with RA were obtained. These involved mainly MAPK signaling pathway, adherens junction, and colorectal cancer. Conclusion: These results from network pharmacology suggest that $P$. hookeri exerts therapeutic effect on RA via multiple components, multiple targets and multiple pathways.
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## INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic autoimmune disease which occurs in three stages: chronic, progressive, and aggressive arthritis, eventually leading to joint deformity and loss of function. Thus, RA has a high frequency of disability [1]. In Tibetan medicine, RA is called "zhen bu" disease [2], and available data show that the treatment efficacy of Tibetan medicine for RA is up to 94.6 \% [3,4].

Previous studies have confirmed that the major chemical components of $P$. hookeri include iridoid glycosides, triterpenoid saponins, and phenolic acids [5,6]. A survey of ancient literature revealed that $P$. hookeri was frequently used in the treatment of RA [7]. Some recent studies have shown that aqueous and ethanolic extracts of $P$. hookeri have anti-inflammatory, anti-RA, and analgesic effects $[8,9]$. Although there are several studies on $P$. hookeri, its mechanism of
action on RA has not received much attention.
Recently, network-based analyses have emerged as powerful tools for elucidating the multiple and active components of extracts, as well as their mechanisms of action [10]. A new approach is provided by network pharmacology for the study of activities of multiple components and pharmacological mechanisms in traditional medicine.

The purpose of the research was to use network pharmacology and UPLC-Q-TOF/MS to unravel the active ingredients of $P$. hookeri, their targets, and the mechanism involved in the anti-RA effect of the plant.

## EXPERIMENTAL

## Materials and reagents

Acetonitrile and formic acid (HPLC grade) were supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and Fluka (Buchs, Steinheim, Germany), respectively. Distilled water used for UPLC-QTOF/MS was acquired using the Milli-Q system (Millipore, France), while P. hookeri was purchased from Ganzi Tibetan Hospital in Sichuan, China. The standards ( $\sim 98 \%$ purity) of loganic acid (3), chlorogenic acid (4), sweroside (9), loganin (10), 6-apiofuranosylsweroside (12), 3,4 -dicaffeoylquinic acid (15), 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (16), 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (18), ursolic acid (39), and oleanolic acid (40) were supplied by the National Institute for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, China) or Chengdu Must BioTechnology Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, Ching). Sylvestroside I (17), cantleyoside (19), triplostoside A (25), dipsanosides B (26), and dipsanosides A (27) were acquired from $P$. hookeri by in previous studies [11,12].

## Sample preparation

Powered P. hookeri ( 2.0 g ) was accurately weighed and added to 50 mL of $70 \%$ aqueous methanol. The mixture was subjected to ultrasonic extraction for 30 min , and filtered. The residue was washed with a small amount of $70 \%$ aqueous methanol, and the combined filtrate was concentrated and dissolved in the same solvent. The concentrated solution was transferred to a 10 mL bottle, and $70 \%$ methanol was added to the mark. The supernatant was centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 15 min and filtered through a 0.22 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ microporous membrane.

## UPLC chromatography

UPLC was performed in a $100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.7$
$\mu m$ Waters Acquity UPLC ${ }^{\text {R }}$ BEH C18 column (Waters Corp., Milford, USA). The mobile phase was composed of acetonitrile (A), and water (B) each containing $0.1 \%$ formic acid. The linear gradient program was performed as follows: 5 $12 \%$ B for 0-7 min; 12-17 \% B for 7-8 min; $17 \%$ B for 8-13 min; 17-25 \% B for 13-20 $\min ; 25-46 \%$ B for $20-23 \mathrm{~min} ; 46 \%$ B for $23-$ $26 \mathrm{~min} ; 46-60 \%$ B for $23-32 \mathrm{~min} ; 60-95 \%$ B for $32-35 \mathrm{~min}$, and $95 \%$ B for $35-36 \mathrm{~min}$. Flow rate, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; injection volume, $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; column temperature, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Mass spectrometry

Waters SYNAPT G2HDMS system of ion source was used for electrospray ionization (ESI). Scanning was done at positive (ESI+) and negative (ESI-) ion modes, with nitrogen as atomization and conical gas. The source temperature and cone gas flow rate were $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $40 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$, respectively. Desolvation temperature and gas flow rate were $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $800 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$, respectively. Other MS conditions used were sampling cone voltage of 40 V , extraction cone voltage of 4 V , capillary voltages of 3.0 kV (ESI+) and 2.5 kV (ESI-), scan time and inter scan time ( 0.3 s and 0.02 s , respectively), and mass-to-charge ratio, $m / z$ of $50-1700$. Leucineenkephalin ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) at a flow velocity of 5 $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}$, was used for calibration of mass number ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 556.2771$ for ESI + , and $m / z 554.2615$ for ESI-).

## Prediction and screening of targets

ChemBio Office 2014 software was used to draw the structures of the compounds. These were converted to 3D forms with ChemBio3D ultra software, and stored in mol2 format. Then, in order to predict the potential target, chemical components were imported into the PharmMapper website (http://liab.ecust.edu.cn/pharmmapper/) for potential target prediction analysis. The first 10 targets of each compound were selected for follow-up study.

## Prediction and screening of pathways

The targets obtained were introduced into the Bio database (http://bioinfo.capitalbio. com/mas3/) and then screened for pathways that met the criterion of $p<0.01$.

## Construction of network

Cytoscape software was used to construct compound-target-pathway networks with
chemical constituents, predicted targets and pathways.

## RESULTS

## Compositions of $P$. hookeri

Data on the ESI+ and ESI- modes are shown in Figure 1. It was found that ESI- mode provided a neater MS fragmentation and sharper peak shapes than ESI+. However, it was easier to find the quasi-molecular ion peaks of compounds with combination of positive and negative ions. Using relative retention times, exact masses, MS fragments, standards, and references, 40 compounds were identified or elucidated with the information shown in Figure 1, Figure 2, Table 1.

Compounds of iridoid glycosides have variety of activities, such as anti-inflammatory [8], anti-RA [2], and the anti-tumor [24] activities. A total of 17 (1, 3, 7-12, 17, 19-21, 23-27) compounds were identified at the same time in ESI+ and ESImodes. A glucose unit (Glc, 162 Da ) was a representative fragment during a neutral loss scan of all iridoid glycosides. Taking peak 3 as an example, the quasi-molecular ions $[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-$ $2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 773.2469$, $\left.\mathrm{[2M}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{H}\right]^{-}$at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 751.2656$, and $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$at $m / z 375.1281$, indicated that the formula of the compound was $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{10}$. Figure 3 A indicates that $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$ of peak 3 got the ions at $m / z 213.0759$ and 169.0859 by losing 162 Da (Glc) and $44 \mathrm{Da}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. Besides, the ions at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 113.0239 and 151.0752 were generated by the characteristic retro-Diels-Alder (RDA) cleavage loss of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}(56 \mathrm{Da})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (18 Da), respectively from the fragment ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 169.0859 [15]. As a consequence, by comparison with standard, peak 3 was confirmed as loganic acid. The proposed fragmentation pathway of loganic acid (peak 3) is displayed in Figure 3B.

Seven (2, 4-6, 15, 16, 18) compounds were identified as phenolic acids from $P$. hookeri in the ESI+ and ESI- modes. Peak 4 generated a [2M-$\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 707.1810,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]{ }^{-}$ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 375.0866, and $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$ ion at $m / z$ 353.0866, indicated that the formula of the compound was $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{9}$. The $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{-}$ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 191.0560, and [caffeic acid-H] ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 179.0350 showed that the compound structure contained a quinic acid and caffeic acid. Comparing with standard, peak 4 was unambiguously confirmed as chlorogenic acid. Peaks 2 and 6 had uniform quasi-molecular ion at $m / z$ 353, which indicates that these two compounds were isomeric with 4. It was easy to distinguish peaks 2 and 6 using literature reports and their relative retention times [14]. Peaks 15,

16, and 18 were had the same quasi-molecular and fragment ions, indicating that these three compounds were isomeric. On the basis of comparisons with standards, and literature reports, the three peaks were confirmed as 3,4dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, and 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid. The derivation of the fragmentation pathway of 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid is shown in Figure 4B.

The other major chemical constituents of $P$. hookeri were triterpenes. A triterpene molecule is an aglycone formed after the removal the removal of one or more sugar moieties from a triterpene glycoside. The most common sugar moieties in triterpenes are glucose (Glc), rhamnose (Rha), and xylose (Xyl), and they are linked to the aglycone through O-glycosidic linkages in positions 3 and 28. Therefore, the loss of 162 Da (Glc), 132 Da (Rha), and 146 Da (Xyl) in MS data are characteristic for triterpenes. The triterpenes differ in type and amount of sugar present. Thirteen triterpenes (28-40) were identified. For example, peak 35 exhibited ions at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1033.5337$ ( $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]]^{\prime}\right)$ and 1011.5532 ([M-$\mathrm{H}]^{-}$), corresponding to the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{O}_{19}$. The MS spectrum of peak 35 gave four major fragment ions at $m / z 865.4962$ ([M-HRha] ${ }^{-}$), 733.4523 ([M-H-Rha-Xyl] ${ }^{\text {] }}$, 587.3956 ([M-H-2Rha-Xyl] ${ }^{-}$), and 455.3532 ([M-H-2Rha-2Xyl] ${ }^{-}$), demonstrating the presence of two rhamnose and xylose residues. From references, peak 35 was confirmed as triploside G. The proposed fragmentation pathway of triploside G (peak 35) is shown in Figure 5B.

Apart from iridoid glycosides, triterpenes, and phenolic acids, three other compounds (13, 14, 22) were identified from $P$. hookeri. Additional information about these compounds and their chemical constitutions are shown in Table 1 and Figure 2, respectively.

## Network pharmacology

A total of 38 targets were obtained by importing 40 chemical compositions predicted to be absorbable into the PharmMapper database for directional docking (Table 2). These targets were then imported into the Molecule Annotation System, which resulted 57 pathways regulated by $P$. hookeri with significant differences ( $p<$ $0.05)$. Forty-four of these pathways that met the criterion of $P<0.01$ (Table 3).

Cytoscape software was used to construct a pharmacology network of $P$. hookeri to generate the correlations of chemical components, targets,


Figure 1: The base peak ion current chromatogram of $P$. hookeri in ESI+ and ESI- modes

$1 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\alpha \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=-\beta \mathrm{OH}$
$3 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\beta \mathrm{CH} 3, \mathrm{R}_{3}=-\beta \mathrm{OH}$
$8 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\beta \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=-\alpha \mathrm{OH}$
$10 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\beta \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=-\beta \mathrm{OH}$


$2 \mathrm{R}_{1}=$-Caffeoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=-\mathrm{H}$
$4 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=$-Caffeoyl
$6 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$-Caffeoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=-\mathrm{H}$ $15 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$-Caffeoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$-Caffeoyl $16 \mathrm{R}_{1}=$-Caffeoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=-I H, \mathrm{R}_{3}=$-Caffeoyl $18 \mathrm{R}_{1}=$-Caffeoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$-Caffeoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=-\mathrm{H}$

$7 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{Glc}$
9. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$-Glc
$11 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{Glc}^{4} \mathrm{Glc}$
$12 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{Glc}{ }^{6}$ Api

$17 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{H}$
$25 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$

$20 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}$
$24 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$

$26 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\alpha \mathrm{CIH} 3, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\alpha$
$27 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\beta$ CII $3, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\beta$


40
$28 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Rha}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{Xyl}^{4}{ }^{4} \mathrm{Glc}^{4} \mathrm{Xyl}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Rha}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{Glc}{ }^{6} \mathrm{Glc}$
$29 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Rha}^{-3} \mathrm{Xyl}^{4}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{Glc}^{4} \mathrm{Xyl}^{2}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{Glc}^{6} \mathrm{Glc}$
$30 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Rha}{ }^{\frac{3}{3} \mathrm{Xyl}}{ }^{4} \mathrm{Glc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{Glc}^{6} \mathrm{Glc}$
$31 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Rha}^{3} \mathrm{Xyl}^{4} \mathrm{Glc}^{4} \mathrm{Xyl}^{2} \mathrm{Rha}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{II}$
$32 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{Rha}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{Xyl}^{4}{ }^{4} \mathrm{Glc}^{4} \mathrm{Xyl}^{2}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{H}$
$33 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Rha}^{\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{Xyl}^{4}}{ }^{4} \mathrm{Glc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{H}$
$34 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}{ }^{\frac{3}{-}} \mathrm{Rha}{ }^{3} \mathrm{Xyl}{ }^{-} \mathrm{Rha}^{3} \mathrm{Xyl}^{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{HI}$
Xyl
Xy ${ }^{2}$ Rha ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Xyl}{ }^{4}$ Rha, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{H}$
$36 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Rha}{ }^{3} \mathrm{Xyl}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{II}$
$37 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Rha}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{H}$
$38 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{Xyl}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{H}$
$39 \mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{HI}$

Figure 2: Chemical structures of compounds identified

Table 1: ESI-TOF/MS data for compounds identified from P. hookeri

| No. | TR (min) | Formula | MS <br> (error in ppm) | Fragment ions | Identification | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1b | 2.17 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 375.1294[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]]^{-} \\ & (0.8) \end{aligned}$ |  | 8-epi-loganin acid | [13] |
| 2 b | 2.25 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 353.0870[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]]^{-} \\ & (-0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $173.0441\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right], 161.0235\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, $135.0445\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{\left.-\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]}\right]$ | Neochlorogenic acid | [14] |
| 3 a | 3.29 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 375.1298[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (-2.7) \end{aligned}$ | 773.2469 [2M+Na-2H], 751.2656 [2M-H], 213.0759 [M-H-GIc], 169.0859 <br>  $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ ] | Loganic acid | [13] |
| 4a | 3.64 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 353.0866[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]{ }^{-} \\ & (-2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $729.1613[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}, 707.1810[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$, , $375.0866[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}, 191.0560$ [M-H-C9 $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{-}, 179.0350\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{H}\right]{ }^{-}$ | Chlorogenic acid | [14] |
| 5b | 4.10 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 179.0351[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]{ }^{-} \\ & (3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $201.0152[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]$, $135.0452\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]^{\text {] }}$ | Caffeic acid | [13] |
| 6 b | 4.14 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 353.0866[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} \\ & (-2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $375.0877[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]]^{\prime}, 191.0556\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{-}, 179.0345\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}-\mathrm{H}\right]^{-}$, $173.0452\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{-}$ | Cryptochlorogenic acid | [14] |
| 7b | 4.74 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 373.1124[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]{ }^{-} \\ & (-2.9) \end{aligned}$ | $769.2156[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{\prime}, 747.2343$ [2M-H] ${ }^{-} 211.0236$ [M-H-Glc], 167.0701 [M-H-Glc-CO ${ }^{-}{ }^{-}$ | Swertimarin | [13] |
| 8 b | 5.84 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 389.1462[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (3.6) \end{aligned}$ | 227.0904 [M-H-Glc] ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | 7-epi-loganin | [13] |
| 9 a | 6.10 | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 357.1201[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]{ }^{-} \\ & (4.2) \end{aligned}$ |  195.0660 [M-H-GIc], 125.0242 [M-H-Glc-C ${ }_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}^{-}$ | Sweroside | [15] |
| 10a | 6.29 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 779.2971[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]]^{-} \\ & (-0.4) \end{aligned}$ | $801.1882[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}, 435.1503[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{HCOOH}], 227.0926[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glcc}]$, $209.0838\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glc}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$, | Loganin | [13] |
| 11b | 6.65 | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 519.1710[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (-0.8) \end{aligned}$ |  | Dipsanosides H | [16] |
| 12 ab | 6.85 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 489.1609[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $979.3309[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$ ', $535.1652\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{HCOOH}^{-}, 357.1214\right.$ [M-H-Api] ${ }^{-}$, <br> 339.0517 [M-H-Api-H2O]', 195.0657 [M-H-Api-Glc], 149.0452 [M-H-Api-Glc$\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO}\right]$, , 125.0238 [M-H-Api-Glc- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | 6'-apiofuranosylsweroside | [16] |
| 13 | 8.80 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left.535.1815[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]{ }^{-}-0.2\right) \end{aligned}$ | $557.1970[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 373.1283 [M-H-GlC] ${ }^{-}$ | 8-hydroxylpinoresionl-4'-glucoside | [10] |
| 14 | 8.94 | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 535.1865[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $557.2025[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}$, $373.1291[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glc}]^{-}$ | 8'-hydroxylpinoresionl-4-glucoside | [10] |
| 15ab | 10.01 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 515.1208[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (3.5) \end{aligned}$ | $1053.2207[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}, 537.1027[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}, 353.0879\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{-}$, $191.0549\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{-}$ | 3,4-dicaffeoylquinic acid | [14] |
| 16 ab | 10.19 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 515.1183[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} \\ & (-1.4) \end{aligned}$ | $1031.2461[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 353.0869\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{-}, 191.0557$ [M-H-2 $\left.\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{-}$ | 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid | [14] |
| 17a | 10.89 | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 747.2701[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (-1.5) \end{aligned}$ |  | Sylvestroside I | [10] |
| 18ab | 11.70 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 515.1197[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]{ }^{-} \\ & (1.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1053.2296[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{HH}]^{-}, 1031.2493[2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 537.1018[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{\top}, \\ & 353.0872\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{-}, 191.0549\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{-} \end{aligned}$ | 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid | [14] |

Table 1: ESI-TOF/MS data for compounds identified from P. hookeri (contd)

| No. | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (min) | Formula | MS (error in ppm) | Fragment ions | Identification | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19a | 13.34 | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{19}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 745.2576[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} \\ & (2.8) \end{aligned}$ | 1491.5344 [2M-H]', 583.2040 [M-H-Glc] ${ }^{-}$, 421.1163 [M-H-GIc-GIc] | Cantleyoside | [11] |
| 20 | 13.90 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 525.1973[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | 1051.4105 [2M-H], $571.2026[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{HCOOH}]^{-}$, 363.1512 [M-H-Glc] | Laciniatoside II | [17] |
| 21 | 15.10 | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 585.2172[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]]^{-} \\ & (-1.9) \end{aligned}$ | $631.2221[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{HCOOH}]^{-}, 423.1652[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glc}]^{-}$ | Laciniatoside I | [17] |
| 22b | 16.24 | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{20}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 933.3507[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | 771.2957 [M+H-Glc] ${ }^{+}$, $609.2066[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glc}-\mathrm{Glc}]^{+}$ | Pterocephaline | [18] |
| 23 | 16.71 | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 583.2030[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (0.5) \end{aligned}$ | 1189.3997 [2M+Na-2H] ${ }^{-} 421.1485[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Glc}]^{-}$ | Sylvestroside III | [17] |
| 24 | 16.84 | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 583.2030[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} \\ & (0.5) \end{aligned}$ | $1189.3986[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}, 421.1462$ [M-H-Glc] ${ }^{-}$ | Sylvestroside VI | [17] |
| 25a | 17.72 | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{20}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 791.2967[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (-0.9) \end{aligned}$ | 629.2448 [M-H-Glc] ${ }^{-}$ | Triplostoside A | [14] |
| 26a | 18.28 | $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{37}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1473.5153[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (4.8) \end{aligned}$ | 1311.4646 [M-H-Glc] ${ }^{\text {, }} 1131.3984$ [M-H-2Glc-H2O], 969.2977 [M-H-3Glc-H2O], 825.2862 [M-H-4GIc] | Dipsanosides B | [14] |
| 27a | 18.58 | $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{37}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1473.5129[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & \text { (3.1) } \end{aligned}$ | 1311.4545 [M-H-Glc] $^{-}, 1149.3488$ [M-H-2Glc ] $^{-}, 969.2973$ [M-H-3Glc - $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OO}^{-}$, $807.2715\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{Glc}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | Dipsanosides A | [14] |
| 28 | 22.44 | $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{122} \mathrm{O}_{38}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1629.7534[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (-0.1) \end{aligned}$ | 1305.6500 [M-H-2GIc]', 1159.6040 [M-H-2Glc-Rha]', 1027.5486 [M-H-2Glc-RhaXyl], 865.4760 [M-H-3Glc-Rha-Xyl], 733.4683 [M-H-3Glc-Rha-2Xyl], 587.3968 [M-H-3Glc-2Rha-2Xyl], 455.3522 [M-H-3Glc-2Rha-3Xyl] | Hookerosides C | [19] |
| 29 | 22.50 | $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{112} \mathrm{O}_{34}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1483.7013[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (3.8) \end{aligned}$ | 1159.5927 [M-H-2Glc], 1027.5481 [M-H-2Glc -Xyl], 865.4907 [M-H-3Glc-Xyl], 733.4633 [M-H-3Glc-2Xyl], 587.3981 [M-H-3Glc-Rha-2Xyl], 455.3550 [M-H-3Glc-Rha-3Xyl] | Hookerosides B | [19] |
| 30 | 23.60 | $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{104} \mathrm{O}_{30}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1351.6509[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (-1.8) \end{aligned}$ | 1027.5470 [M-H-2Glc] ${ }^{-}, 865.4976$ [M-H-3Glc] ${ }^{-}, 733.4747$ [M-H-3Glc-Xyl], 587.3919 [M-H-3Glc-Xyl-Rha], 455.3521 [M-H-3Glc-2Xyl-Rha] | Hookerosides A | [19] |
| 31 | 26.07 | $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{O}_{28}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1305.6544[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (5.0) \end{aligned}$ | 1327.6381 [M+Na-2H], 1159.5917 [M-H-Rha], 1027.5478 [M-H-Rha-Xyl], 865.4871 [M-H-Rha-Xyl-Glc]', 733.4586 [M-H-Rha-2Xyl-Glc]', 587.3935 [M-H-2Rha-2Xyl-Glc], 455.3524 [M-H-2Rha-3Xyl-GIc] | Hookerosides D | [19] |
| 32 | 26.25 | $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{O}_{24}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1159.5923[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (2.0) \end{aligned}$ | 1181.5713 [M+Na-2H], $1027.5490[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{XyI}], 865.4960[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Xyl}-\mathrm{Glc}]$ ], 733.4566 [M-H-2Xyl-Glc], 587.4064 [M-H-Rha-2Xyl-Glc] ${ }^{\text {² }}, 455.3505$ [M-H-Rha-3Xyl-GIc] | Oleanolic acid $3-\mathrm{Xyl}(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $\operatorname{Glc}(1 \rightarrow 4)-\mathrm{Xyl}(1 \rightarrow 3)-\mathrm{Rha}(1 \rightarrow 2)-\mathrm{Xyl}$ | [19] |
| 33 | 26.55 | $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{O}_{20}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1027.5485[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (0.7) \end{aligned}$ | 1049.5216 [M+Na-2H]', 865.4986 [M-H-Glc]', 733.4459 [M-H-Glc-Xyl], 587.3894 [M-H-Glc-Xyl-Rha], 455.3520 [M-H-Glc-2Xyl-Rha] | Oleanolic acid 3-Glc ( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )$\mathrm{Xyl}(1 \rightarrow 3)$-Rha( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )-Xyl | [19] |
| 34 | 27.43 | C62H100O27 | $\begin{aligned} & 1275.6399[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]- \\ & (2.0) \end{aligned}$ | 1297.6207 [M+Na-2H]-, 1143.5941 [M-H-Xyl]-, 997.5147 [M-H-Xyl-Rha]-, 865.5060 [M-H-2Xyl-Rha]-, 719.4508 [M-H-2Xyl-2Rha]-, 587.3959 [M-H-3Xyl-2Rha]-, 455.3520 [M-H-4Xyl-2Rha]- | Rivularicin | [20] |
| 35b | 27.82 | C52H84O19 | $\begin{aligned} & 1011.5532[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]- \\ & (0.3) \end{aligned}$ | 1033.5337 [M+Na-2H]-, 865.4962 [M-H-Rha]-, 733.4523 [M-H-Rha-Xyl]-, 587.3956 [M-H-2Rha-Xyl]-, 455.3532 [M-H-2Rha-2Xyl]- | Triploside G | [21] |

Table 1: ESI-TOF/MS data for compounds identified from P. hookeri (contd)

| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & (\mathrm{~min}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Formla | MS (error in ppm) | Fragment ions | Identification | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | 28.00 | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{O}_{15}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 865.4957[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] \\ & (0.9) \end{aligned}$ | $887.4765[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}-2 \mathrm{H}]^{-}, 733.4520\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{XyIl}^{-}, 587.3954\right.$ [M-H-Rha-Xyl], 455.3538 [M-H-Rha-2Xyl] | Oleanolic acid $3-\mathrm{Xyl}(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $\mathrm{Rha}(1 \rightarrow 2)$ Xyl | [19] |
| 37 | 29.15 | $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ | $733.4531[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}(0.5)$ | 587.3969 [M-H-Rha]', 455.3524 [M-H-Rha-Xyl] | giganteaside D | [19] |
| 38 | 30.33 | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | 587.3927 [M-H] ${ }^{-}(-3.6)$ | 455.3567 [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Xyl}]^{\text {] }}$ | songoroside A | [19] |
| 39a | 34.68 | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 455.3539 [M-H] ${ }^{\text {] }}$ (3.1) |  | Oleanolic acid | [22] |
| 40a | 34.73 | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 455.3510 [M-H] ${ }^{-}(-3.3)$ |  | Ursolic acid | [22] |
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Figure 3: MS diagram (ESI-) and ions fragmentation pathways of loganic acid (3)
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Figure 4: MS diagram (ESI-) and ions fragmentation pathways of 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (16)
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Figure 5: MS diagram (ESI-) and ions fragmentation pathways of triploside G (35)

Table 2: Information on potential targets from compounds of $P$. hookeri

| No. | Gene | Protein | Compound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CA2 | Carbonic anhydrase 2 | $3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11,12,14,15,17$, $18,19,20,21,22,23,25,27,30,32$, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 |
| 2 | MAPK10 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,4,15,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24, \\ & 26,27,28,32,33,37,38,40 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | PNP | Purine nucleoside phosphorylase | $\begin{aligned} & 6,7,8,9,10,12,13,16,17,19,21,22, \\ & 23,24,26,27,28,29,30 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | BMP2 | Bone morphogenetic protein 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37 \text {, } \\ & 38,39,40 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | GSTP1 | Glutathione S-transferase P | $\begin{aligned} & 3,7,8,9,10,11,12,21,23,26,28,29 \text {, } \\ & 30,35 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | ITGAL | Integrin alpha-L | 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 |
| 7 | AKR1C2 | Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member C2 | $31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40$ |
| 8 | MAPK8 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 8 | 2, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 |
| 9 | CASP3 | Caspase-3 | 1, 3, 9, 11, 12, 36, 37, 38 |
| 10 | KDR | Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 | 2, 4, 18, 33, 35, 36, 37, 40 |
| 11 | BACE1 | Beta-secretase 1 | $2,4,5,15,18,38,39,40$ |
| 12 | MTAP | S-methyl-5'-thioadenosine phosphorylase | 7, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16 |
| 13 | CFB | Complement factor B | 15,17, 20, 22, 24, 27 |
| 14 | SELP | P-selectin | 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 |
| 15 | PIM1 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase pim-1 | 5, 23, 38, 40 |
| 16 | MAPK1 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 | 1, 3, 9, 12, 20 |
| 17 | CA1 | Carbonic anhydrase 1 | 12, 13, 18, 31 |
| 18 | EGFR | Epidermal growth factor receptor | 1, 5, 6, 14 |
| 19 | AMY1A | Alpha-amylase 1 | 2, 7, 16, 18 |
| 20 | PDE4B | cAMP-specific 3',5'-cyclic phosphordiesterase 4B | 10, 11, 14 |
| 21 | NUDT9 | ADP-ribose pyrophosphatase, mitochondrial | 7, 32 |
| 22 | CHEK1 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase Chk1 | 13,14 |
| 23 | MAPK14 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 14 | 4, 22 |
| 24 | TGFBR2 | TGF-beta receptor type-2 | 4, 34 |
| 25 | AR | Androgen receptor | 15,39 |
| 26 | MAPKAPK2 | MAP kinase-activated protein kinase 2 | 19, 20 |
| 27 | CDK2 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 2 | 5, 12 |
| 28 | CSNK2A1 | Casein kinase II subunit alpha | 3, 8 |
| 29 | F2 | Prothrombin | 2, 32 |
| 30 | CDK5R1 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 5 activator 1 | 6, 16 |
| 31 | PDE5A | cGMP-specific 3',5'-cyclic phosphodiesterase | 4 |
| 32 | ICAM2 | Intercellular adhesion molecule 2 | 7 |
| 33 | TGFBR1 | TGF-beta receptor type-1 | 10 |
| 34 | CFD | Complement factor D | 10 |
| 35 | CA12 | Carbonic anhydrase 12 | 11 |
| 36 | PPARG | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma | 24 |
| 37 | CASP7 | Caspase-7 | 39 |
| 38 | SRC | Proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Src | 1 |

and pathways (Figure 6). Though the network diagram, a preliminary mechanism of the anti-RA of $P$. hookeri was obtained.

Table 3 show that the MAPK signaling pathway was involved in the largest number of targets. This pathway affects the release of inflammatory cytokines, and inhibits abnormal synaptic proliferation, thereby inhibition of RA bone erosion [25]. In addition, some cancer-related pathways were found, including those related to colorectal, prostate, and pancreatic cancers. This is consistent with a previous report [24].

## DISCUSSION

The technique of UPLC-Q-TOF/MS has the preponderances of fast analysis, low detection limit and strong qualitative ability. It has been widely used in the analysis of the chemical composition of medicinal plants, and has become an important means of identifying various compounds. In the MS data analysis process, it is extremely important to identify the correct quasi-molecular ion. This is related to the accuracy of the results. In the ESI+ mode, the quasi-molecular ion $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$is easily formed, while the ESI- mode mainly forms the [M-H] quasi-molecular ion, and the difference between

Table 3: The 44 biocarta pathways regulated by $P$. hookeri ( $p<0.01$ )

| No. | Pathway | Count | $P$-value | q-value | Gene |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MAPK signaling pathway | 10 | 2.42E-10 | 2.15E-09 | MAPK1;CASP3;EGFR;TGFBR1;MAPK10;MAPK8; MAPK14:TGFBR2;MAPKAPK2 |
| 2 | Adherens junction | 7 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 2.15E-09 | MAPK1;SRC;EGFR;TGFBR1;CSNK2A1;TGFBR2 |
| 3 | Colorectal cancer | 7 | 4.17E-10 | 2.73E-09 | MAPK1;CASP3;EGFR;TGFBR1;MAPK10;MAPK8; TGFBR2 |
| 4 | Prostate cancer | 7 | 5.81E-10 | $3.49 \mathrm{E}-09$ | MAPK1;EGFR;GSTP1;AR;CDK2 |
| 5 | Epithelial cell signaling in Helicobacter pylori infection | 6 | 6.58E-09 | 2.96E-08 | SRC;CASP3;EGFR;MAPK10;MAPK8;MAPK14 |
| 6 | Pancreatic cancer | 6 | $8.50 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 3.60E-08 | MAPK1;EGFR;TGFBR1;MAPK10;MAPK8;TGFBR2 |
| 7 | GnRH signaling pathway | 6 | $8.54 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 2.56E-07 | MAPK1;SRC;EGFR;MAPK10;MAPK8;MAPK14 |
| 8 | Focal adhesion | 7 | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.49E-07 | MAPK1;SRC;EGFR;MAPK10;KDR;MAPK8 |
| 9 | VEGF signaling pathway | 5 | 4.83E-07 | 1.22E-06 | MAPK1;SRC;KDR;MAPK14;MAPKAPK2 |
| 10 | ErbB signaling pathway | 5 | 9.50E-07 | 1.91E-06 | MAPK1;SRC;EGFR;MAPK10;MAPK8 |
| 11 | TGF-beta signaling pathway | 5 | $9.50 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.91E-06 | MAPK1;BMP2;TGFBR1;TGFBR2 |
| 12 | Insulin signaling pathway | 5 | 9.19E-06 | 1.32E-05 | MAPK1;MAPK10;MAPK8 |
| 13 | Complement and coagulation cascades | 4 | 1.19E-05 | 1.52E-05 | CFD;CFB;F2 |
| 14 | Purine metabolism | 5 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ | PDE4B;PDE5A;PNP;NUDT9 |
| 15 | Nitrogen metabolism | 3 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.84E-05 | CA2;CA1;CA12 |
| 16 | Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction | 6 | 1.56E-05 | 1.84E-05 | BMP2;EGFR;TGFBR1;KDR;TGFBR2 |
| 17 | Fc epsilon RI signaling pathway | 4 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.29E-05 | MAPK1;MAPK10;MAPK8;MAPK14 |
| 18 | Alzheimer's disease | 5 | $3.05 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | CASP7;MAPK1;CASP3;BACE1;CDK5R1 |
| 19 | Toll-like receptor signaling pathway | 4 | 5.57E-05 | 5.42E-05 | MAPK1;MAPK10;MAPK8;MAPK14 |
| 20 | Type II diabetes mellitus | 3 | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 9.30E-05 | MAPK1;MAPK10;MAPK8 |
| 21 | Endometrial cancer | 3 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | MAPK1;EGFR |
| 22 | Starch and sucrose metabolism | 3 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.29E-04 | AMY1B;AMY1A;AMY1C |
| 23 | Natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity | 4 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | MAPK1;CASP3;ITGAL;ICAM2 |
| 24 | Non-small cell lung cancer | 3 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.38E-04 | MAPK1;EGFR |
| 25 | p53 signaling pathway | 3 | $3.73 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.36E-04 | CASP3;CDK2;CHEK1 |
| 26 | Chronic myeloid leukemia | 3 | $4.77 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.83E-04 | MAPK1;TGFBR1;TGFBR2 |
| 27 | Gap junction | 3 | 8.93E-04 | 4.34E-04 | MAPK1;SRC;EGFR |
| 28 | Regulation of actin cytoskeleton | 4 | 0.001005 | 4.79E-04 | MAPK1;EGFR;ITGAL;F2 |
| 29 | Urea cycle and metabolism of amino groups | 2 | 0.001458 | 6.32E-04 | MTAP |
| 30 | Thyroid cancer | 2 | 0.001564 | 6.66E-04 | PPARG;MAPK1 |
| 31 | Cell cycle | 3 | 0.001814 | 7.42E-04 | CDK2;CHEK1 |
| 32 | Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) | 3 | 0.002542 | 0.001011 | SELP;ITGAL;ICAM2 |
| 33 | Bladder cancer | 2 | 0.003261 | 0.001255 | MAPK1;EGFR |
| 34 | Wnt signaling pathway | 3 | 0.003625 | 0.001388 | MAPK10;MAPK8;CSNK2A1 |
| 35 | mTOR signaling pathway | 2 | 0.004957 | 0.001844 | MAPK1 |
| 36 | Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) | 2 | 0.005727 | 0.002082 | CASP3;MAPK14 |
| 37 | Hedgehog signaling pathway | 2 | 0.005927 | 0.002144 | BMP2 |
| 38 | Acute myeloid leukemia | 2 | 0.006338 | 0.002259 | MAPK1;PIM1 |
| 39 | Glioma | 2 | 0.007645 | 0.002711 | MAPK1;EGFR |
| 40 | Adipocytokine signaling pathway | 2 | 0.008105 | 0.002819 | MAPK10;MAPK8 |
| 41 | PPAR signaling pathway | 2 | 0.008818 | 0.003045 | PPARG |
| 42 | Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450 | 2 | 0.008818 | 0.003045 | GSTP1;AKR1C2 |
| 43 | Melanoma | 2 | 0.009062 | 0.003107 | MAPK1;EGFR |
| 44 | Drug metabolism cytochrome P450 | 2 | 0.009309 | 0.003169 | GSTP1 |



Figure 6: The "component-target-pathway" network of $P$. hookeri
$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$and $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$ is 24 Da . It can be judged that the ion is a quasi-molecular ion. In the present study, UPLC-Q-TOF/MS technique was used to analyze the chemical components of $P$. hookeri. The results of this study provide a basis for research on the pharmacodynamics and quality control of $P$. hookeri.

It is evident from the results obtained in this study that CA2, MAPK10 and PNP were associated with most of the compounds. Therefore, these factors can be considered to be the main targets. The CA2 gene encodes carbonic anhydrase 2, which is crucial for bone resorption and osteoclast differentiation, and the pathway involved is nitrogen metabolism. There is an imbalance in nitrogen metabolism in patients with RA. However, most patients with RA are in positive nitrogen balance when nitrogen intake is adequate [26]. Purine nucleoside phosphorylase is encoded by PNP gene, and it catalyzes the phosphorolytic cleavage of the N -glycosidic linkage in purine (deoxy) ribonucleosides, with the liberation of
free purine base and pentose-1-phosphate. The pathway involved is purine metabolism. Purine metabolism has a highly synergistic effect on immune cell function in RA [27]. The MAPK10 gene encodes mitogen-activated protein kinase 10, which is associated with inflammation and immune function [28]. The pathways related to RA include MAPK, VEGF, TGF-beta signaling pathway, and focal adhesion. Results from network pharmacological prediction showed that one compound can act on one or more different targets, and one target can also act on different pathways, suggesting that anti-RA property of $P$. hookeri involves multiple components, multiple targets, and multiple pathways.

The network pharmacology method used in this study is an innovative methodology due to the establishment of multilayer networks of disease -target-drug for forecasting drug targets in an integral manner, and for boosting efficient drug discovery [29]. This method represents a breakthrough, when compared with the traditional herbal medicine research methodology
of gene-target-disease, and initiates a new system of multiple genes-multiple targetscomplex diseases.

This is the first study on the mechanism involved in the anti-RA effect of $P$. hookeri, and the first to use the novel technique of network pharmacology. Using this method, the main targets and pathways were successfully predicted, thereby providing a foundation for further research. This method is important for the study of complex drugs and should be applied in future studies.

## CONCLUSION

A UPLC-Q-TOF/MS method has been successfully developed for the rapid analysis of chemical components of $P$. hookeri. A total of 40 compounds have been identified. Network pharmacology method enables the prediction of the therapeutic effect of $P$. hookeri on RA via a mechanism involving multiple components, multiple targets and multiple pathways.
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