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Abstract

Purpose: To study the physical properties and dissolution profiles of commercial samples of artemisunate and amodiaquine tablets.

Methods: Fifteen generic brands of artemisunate and five generic brands of amodiaquine tablets were obtained from drug retail outlets in Oyo and Ogun States in southwestern Nigeria. The tablets were subjected to various compendial tests including identification, weight uniformity, uniformity of content, content of active ingredient and uniformity of diameter. Additional tests used as a basis for the assessment of the pharmaceutical equivalence of the products include hardness, disintegration time and dissolution rate. Data obtained were analysed by correlation analysis, Chi-square and ANOVA.

Results: Thirteen generic brands of artemisunate (87 %) and four amodiaquine brands (80 %) investigated were imported. Two brands of the imported artemisunate brands were found to contain undetectable amount of artemisunate while another 8 samples contained overages. All the amodiaquine brands passed the assay test as stipulated by United States Pharmacopoeia (USP) for amodiaquine tablets while tablet disintegration time of amodiaquine products ranged from 5.8 – 20.7 min. All but one artemisunate sample passed the disintegration test too. A majority of the artemisunate brands tested had significantly different dissolution profiles (p < 0.05). Four (80 %) of the amodiaquine tablet brands tested had similar dissolution profiles and percent drug released within 30 min (p > 0.05). One amodiaquine brand demonstrated poor dissolution profile as it did not meet minimum dissolution requirements within 30 min.

Conclusion: The detection of substandard artemisunate tablets and a poorly formulated amodiaquine tablet amongst the few sample brands studied highlights the need for increased drug surveillance and monitoring of the qualities of antimalarial medicines currently in use in order to prevent widespread treatment failure.
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INTRODUCTION

Artemisinin-based therapies are a major breakthrough in the clinical management of malaria. The problem of faking and adulteration of antimalarials may constitute a major hindrance to the attainment of effective drug-based health care delivery. Artesunate is an artemisinin-based antimalarial drug which is indicated in the treatment of acute, uncomplicated, severe and resistant malaria.

By 2006, 39 countries in Africa had revised their national drug policies to incorporate artemisinin combination therapy (ACT) into malaria case-management guidelines [1]. Oral artesunate can be used alone (in non-resistant malaria) or also in combination with other long acting antimalarials such as amodiaquine, mefloquine, sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine or lumenfantrine to achieve ACT. As a result of the short half-life of artemisinin derivatives, their use as monotherapy requires daily doses over a period of 7 days. Combination of one of these drugs with a longer half-life antimalarial drug allows a reduction in the duration of antimalarial treatment while at the same time enhancing efficacy and reducing the likelihood of resistance development to the two agents [2]. The availability of numerous brands of this artesunate in the Nigerian drug market today places clinicians and pharmacists in a difficult situation of choice of a suitable brand and/or alternatives.

Furthermore, there are growing concerns that the various artesunate formulations may have different bioavailability and that development of resistance will be accelerated if suboptimal doses are consumed [3,4]. Reports of distribution of counterfeit artesunate tablets in south-east Asia are of great public health concern [5]. It is believed that each year, 300-500 million people in Asia and Africa are infected with Plasmodium falciparum malaria and approximately 1.5 million die [6]. Control of malaria, which is dependent on effective antimalarial drugs and bed nets, has been hampered by a widespread increase in the prevalence of drug-resistant malaria parasites [7].

As effective as artesunate is, it is becoming the target of an extremely sophisticated and prolific counterfeit drug trade that includes the counterfeiting of both artesunate tablets and packaging, with fake products looking very similar to authentic ones [8,9]. The inability of a large section of the populace in resource-limited countries to afford the relatively more expensive branded products, as well as slack domestic drug law enforcement, are factors in the infiltration of branded artesunate and amodiaquine tablets of doubtful quality. The need, therefore, to monitor the physicochemical and biopharmaceutical qualities of the generic antimalarial agents cannot be over-emphasized. The present study is designed to determine the relative physicochemical quality of the various generic and branded artesunate (50 mg) and amodiaquine (153.1 mg and 200 mg) tablets in a section of the Nigerian market in order to ascertain their biopharmaceutical equivalence and their possible interchangeability in clinical use.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Artesunate reference powder was a donation from Greenlife Pharmaceuticals Nigeria Plc, (Lagos, Nigeria) and amodiaquine reference powder was donated by Pfizer Specialties Ltd, (Lagos, Nigeria). Sodium hydroxide, hydroxylamine hydrochloride, ethanol, acetone, ethyl acetate, toluene, methanol, ferric chloride (all of analytical reagent grades from BDH, Poole, England); Hardness Tester (BTB 301 Pharmatest, Switzerland); Erweka tablet disintegration apparatus (Erweka, Apparabetau, Germany); Erweka DT-D dissolution test apparatus (Erweka, U.K.); Erweka tablet disintegration apparatus (Erweka, U.K.); TLC plates (Merck, Germany); UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Japan) were used in this study.

Samples of different brands of artesunate 50
mg and amodiaquine HCl (153.1 mg and 200 mg) tablets were randomly purchased from pharmacy retail outlets in southwestern Nigeria all samples were within their shelf-life. The purchase costs were noted. Fifteen samples that cut across 9 different artesunate brands (designated A-I) and five different amodiaquine brands (AA, BB, CC, DD, EE) were randomly selected for this study. Randomization was done in the proportion of 50:30:20 (Lagos:Ogun:Oyo states).

Subjective physical assessment of products

The labels, external packages, unique identification marks, leaflet inserts, foils and tablets were carefully examined for unique markings, odour and colour by all three authors of this work. Decisions were based on agreement among at least two out of the three assessors. For colour assessment, the tablets were placed on a white sheet of paper and both sides of each tablet examined. Identification of the white colour (for artesunate) and yellow colour (for amodiaquine) was carried out by matching with the closest colour in Federal Standard Number 595 colours (10). Deviations from the chosen colours were rated from a scale of 1 - 10 with 10 being the chosen white and yellow colours and 1 being the highest level of deviation.

The tablets were odourless if they were not flavoured.

Weight uniformity test and Uniformity of diameter

Evaluation of tablet weight variation was carried out using the weight uniformity test outlined in the British Pharmacopoeia [11]. Twenty tablets were randomly selected for each brand evaluated and their weights determined. The mean weight and deviation (%) were calculated.

A micrometer screw gauge (SMC 20326, Sterling Manufacturing Company, India) was used to measure the diameter of 12 randomly selected tablets. The mean diameter and deviation (%) from the mean were calculated.

Identity test for artesunate and amodiaquine

Artesunate in the tablets were identified using methods B, C and D of International Pharmacopoeia (IP) [12] based on a validated TLC-Thin Layer Chromatography.

Method B: Silica gel was used as the coating substance and a mixture of 5 volumes of ethyl acetate and 95 volumes of toluene was used as the mobile phase. Solution A was prepared as follows: a quantity of the powdered tablets, equivalent to about 0.1 mg of artesunate, was mixed well with dehydrated ethanol and filtered through a Whatman no.1 filter paper, discarding the first few mL of the filtrate. The filtrate was evaporated and the residue dissolved in 1.0 ml of toluene. Solution B consisted of about 0.1mg of artesunate RS per ml of toluene. Two microlitres each of Solutions A and B were spotted on the TLC and developed in a Whatman no.1 filter paper, discarding the first few mL of the filtrate. The filtrate was evaporated and the residue dissolved in 1.0 ml of toluene. Solution B consisted of about 0.1mg of artesunate RS per ml of toluene. Two microlitres each of Solutions A and B were spotted on the TLC and developed in the chamber. After removal of plate from the chamber, it was air-dried, sprayed with anisaldehyd methanol TS, and heated to 120 °C for 5 min. The chromatograms were examined in ultraviolet light at a wavelength of 254 nm and the Rf values (distance travelled by spot / distance travelled by solvent front) of the various batches were calculated.

Method C: To a quantity of the powdered tablets equivalent to 0.1 g of artesunate, 40 ml of dehydrated ethanol was added, shaken and then filtered through a Whatman no.1 filter paper, discarding the first few mL of the filtrate. To half of the filtrate, about 0.5 ml of hydroxylamine hydrochloride TS2 and 0.25 ml of NaOH (~80 g/L) TS were added. The mixture was heated in a water bath to boiling, cooled and two drops of HCl (~70 g/L) TS and two drops of ferric chloride (50 g/L) TS was added.
**Method D:** The remaining filtrate in Method C was evaporated on a water bath to a volume of about 5ml. Few drops of the mixture was placed on a white porcelain dish and one drop of vanillin/sulphuric acid TS2 was added and allowed to stand for 30 min.

A sample that contains artesunate yields a principal spot on TLC with the same Rf, appearance and intensity as the reference artesunate (method A), a light red-violet colour (method B) and a red colour (method D). Failure to yield these results constitutes a negative result.

Amodiaquine was identified using United States Pharmacopoeia (USP) method B (UV-VIS absorption) [13] whereby an amount equivalent to 300 mg of amodiaquine was transferred to a 250 ml beaker. 100 ml of dilute hydrochloric acid (1 in 100) was added and heated on a steam bath for about 15 min with occasional stirring. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and transferred to a 200 ml volumetric flask. Dilute hydrochloric acid (1 in100) was added to make it up to 200 ml and mixed; 10 ml of the clear supernatant was mixed with 10 ml of dilute hydrochloric acid (1 in 100) in a 125 ml separator. It was washed with 20 ml of chloroform, the washing discarded, and 4.5ml of 1N sodium hydroxide was added followed by extraction with four 25 ml portions of chloroform. The combined chloroform extract portions were further extracted with three 50 ml portions of dilute hydrochloric acid (1 in 100). The acid extracts were combined in a 200 ml volumetric flask, dilute hydrochloric acid (1 in 100) was added to make volume and mixed. A portion (20 ml) of this solution was mixed with dilute hydrochloric acid (1 in 100) and then made up to volume with the latter in a 100 ml volumetric flask. The absorbance of this solution was concomitantly determined with a solution of the undried amodiaquine reference sample in dilute hydrochloric acid (1 in 100) having a known concentration of 15 µg per ml in 1cm cells at 342 nm with ultraviolet spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Japan) using dilute hydrochloric acid (1 in 100) as blank.

**Assay of artesunate and amodiaquine tablets**

Artesunate tablets were analysed for their content of artesunate using titrimetric procedure B of IP [12] whereby 50ml of neutralized ethanol (ethanol ~ 750g/L containing 0.5 mL of phenolphthalein and carbonate-free 0.1 mol/l sodium hydroxide and shows a faint pink colour) was mixed well with a quantity of crushed artesunate tablets equivalent to 0.5 g of artesunate and the filtrate titrated against sodium hydroxide.

For the amodiaquine assay, the USP spectrophotometric procedure (method B for identity test described above) was used. Six replicate determinations were carried out. The quantity in mg, W, of amodiaquine hydrochloride present was calculated in the portion of tablets taken by the formula:

\[
W = 21.68 \frac{C(Au/As)}{C} \quad \text{(1)}
\]

where \(C\) = concentration in µg per ml (calculated on anhydrous basis) of the reference sample; and \(Au\) and \(As\) are the absorbances of the solution obtained from the tablets and the standard solution, respectively.

**Hardness and disintegration tests**

BTB 301 hardness tester was employed. 10 tablets per sample/brand were used for the test and mean hardness values (Kgf) were recorded. For the disintegration test, the disintegration time of six tablets per batch/brand were determined in distilled water maintained at 37 ± 0.5 °C using Erweka tablet disintegration apparatus, and the mean values calculated.

**Dissolution test**

Dissolution tests were carried out on the tablets using the USP general method [13] in...
0.1M HCl (900 ml) with the aid of Erweka DT-D dissolution test apparatus (Erweka, U.K.) fitted with a basket rotated at 100 rpm for artesunate tablets and 50 rpm for amodiaquine tablets as prescribed by IP [12] and USP [13], respectively. Samples were withdrawn from a zone midway between the surface of the dissolution medium and the top of the rotating basket, and replaced with fresh aliquot of dissolution medium, in order to maintain sink conditions. The samples were filtered and diluted appropriately with 0.1N HCl and water for artesunate and amodiaquine, respectively. The absorbance of the solutions were measured at 216nm and 342nm, respectively. Drug released (%) against time was plotted for each formulation and the \( t_{50} \) and \( t_{75} \) (time required for 50 % and 75 % of drug dissolution, respectively) were derived from the plots by extrapolation. The amount dissolved in 45 and 30 min was obtained for each brand of artesunate and amodiaquine, respectively. All determinations were in quadruplicate.

Data analysis

Chi square was used to analyse the effect of cost of product brand on quality. Correlation analysis was adopted to study the interrelationship between various physical parameters while the dissolution data were analysed using ANOVA. Results are expressed as mean \( \pm \) sd of four determinations.

RESULTS

Physical and organoleptic properties

The results of physical and organoleptic assessment of the label, packaging, etc, of artesunate products, Table 1, indicate that they were attractively packaged with unique identification markings, except samples B2 and B3 which were poorly packaged and had a dull green colour. All the artesunate samples were marked with the logo and/or brand name of their claimed manufacturer. The tablets were odourless with colours ranging from white to off-white or a tint of yellow. As Table 2 shows, all the amodiaquine products were attractively packaged; the tablets were yellow in colour, scored and also marked with the manufacturer’s logo, with the exception of sample DD which had no unique logo and was not scored. These physical observations indicate that all the artesunate tablets, except B2, B3 and DD, were satisfactory in appearance with actual identification marks.

Based on Table 1, thirteen out of the total of 15 samples of the artesunate brands studied contained artesunate in conformity with International Pharmacopeia (IP) requirements, except B2 and B3 which contained < 50 % of labelled content. All the artesunate brands passed the weight uniformity test as no two tablets deviated by more than 10 % and none by 20 %. Furthermore, all the artesunate tablets had mean diameter within the IP limit, and also showed good hardness. Five (33 %) of the artesunate tablet samples contained artesunate within IP range (90 - 110 %) of label claim, 8 (53.3 %) exceeded the upper limit (> 110 %) and 2 brands, B2 and B3, (13.3 %) fell well below the lower limit.

The unit cost per packet was similar for the artesunate products with the substandard products costing about as much as the genuine ones. There was no statistically significant difference (\( p > 0.05 \)) in cost between imported and locally manufactured tablets. All amodiaquine tablets had satisfactory physical and organoleptic properties.

Physicochemical properties

Table 2 shows the physicochemical properties of amodiaquine tablet brands. All 5 brands of amodiaquine tablets showed good hardness properties and met USP drug content requirements of 93 – 107 % of labelled content [13]. The cost per unit packet of amodiaquine varied much more than that of artesunate tablets, with the latter being more expensive.
Table 1: Physicochemical properties of artesunate tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Product appearance</th>
<th>Content uniformity</th>
<th>Artesunate content (%)</th>
<th>Cost/pack of 12 tablets (US $)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Hardness (Kgf)</th>
<th>Expiry date</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Country of Manufacture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>108.1±1.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.5±0.0</td>
<td>5.2±0.6</td>
<td>09/08</td>
<td>Aretmed</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>118.3±1.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10.2±0.0</td>
<td>5.4±0.6</td>
<td>12/09</td>
<td>Artesunat</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>113.5±1.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10.1±0.0</td>
<td>5.6±0.9</td>
<td>03/10</td>
<td>Artesunat</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>unsatisfactory</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>28.3±1.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10.2±0.0</td>
<td>6.6±1.9</td>
<td>08/08</td>
<td>Artesunat</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>unsatisfactory</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>49.5±3.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10.2±0.0</td>
<td>6.9±1.9</td>
<td>07/09</td>
<td>Artesunat</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>106.1±2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.5±0.0</td>
<td>7.7±0.4</td>
<td>10/08</td>
<td>Arthlon</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>108.8±2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10.6±0.0</td>
<td>3.8±0.4</td>
<td>11/09</td>
<td>Malasunate</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>107.4±1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.7±0.1</td>
<td>8.1±1.5</td>
<td>07/08</td>
<td>Arinate</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>112.1±2.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9.5±0.0</td>
<td>5.5±2.8</td>
<td>09/09</td>
<td>Vami's</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>112.8±2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9.5±0.0</td>
<td>5.3±0.9</td>
<td>02/10</td>
<td>Vami's</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>112.1±2.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.5±0.0</td>
<td>5.5±1.5</td>
<td>09/08</td>
<td>Lever</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>114.8±1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.3±0.0</td>
<td>5.5±1.3</td>
<td>11/09</td>
<td>Lever</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>110.8±2.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9.2±0.0</td>
<td>4.2±1.1</td>
<td>05/08</td>
<td>Malmeter</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>108.1±2.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9.1±0.0</td>
<td>4.3±0.9</td>
<td>09/08</td>
<td>Malmeter</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>114.2±2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.6±0.01</td>
<td>5.2±0.5</td>
<td>12/08</td>
<td>Larimal</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aIP requirement: 90-110% of amount stated on label (n = 6);  \(b\) \(n = 12\);  \(c\) \(n = 10\)

Table 2: Physicochemical properties of amodiaquine tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Drug content (%)</th>
<th>t(d) (min)(^b)</th>
<th>Drug rel (%) 30 min (^b)</th>
<th>t(50) (min)</th>
<th>t(75) (min)</th>
<th>Hardness (Kgf) (^d)</th>
<th>Unit cost per tablet (US $)</th>
<th>Expiry date</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Country of manufacture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>94.3±0.3</td>
<td>9.2±0.8</td>
<td>81.2±1.8</td>
<td>3.7±0.1</td>
<td>4.8±0.5</td>
<td>4.8±0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12/09</td>
<td>Camoquine</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>94.0±0.5</td>
<td>5.8±0.4</td>
<td>82.8±1.3</td>
<td>3.2±0.1</td>
<td>5.1±0.2</td>
<td>6.4±0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>12/08</td>
<td>Larimal</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>94.6±0.4</td>
<td>9.0±0.6</td>
<td>73.3±2.0</td>
<td>5.0±1.0</td>
<td>31.7±1.3</td>
<td>4.9±0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>12/09</td>
<td>Timec</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>94.4±0.9</td>
<td>20.7±0.8</td>
<td>82.8±3.8</td>
<td>3.4±0.1</td>
<td>5.9±1.0</td>
<td>4.4±0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10/08</td>
<td>Loquine</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>94.6±1.0</td>
<td>15.8±1.8</td>
<td>81.3±3.9</td>
<td>3.2±0.1</td>
<td>6.2±0.9</td>
<td>4.8±1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3/09</td>
<td>Dart</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aUSP requirement: 93-107% of amount stated on label (n = 6);  \(b\) \(n = 6\);  \(d\) \(n = 10\); \(t_d\) = disintegration time; \(t_{50}\) = time taken to attain 50% dissolution; \(t_{75}\) = time taken to attain 75% dissolution. All brands had satisfactory product appearance.

Artesunate tablets disintegrated within 12 min with the exception of brand B2, one of the two substandard samples, which disintegrated in 34.8 min; this is higher than the official USP limit of 30 min. However, all the brands of amodiaquine tablets disintegrated within 21 min which is within the USP limit of 30 min. The \(t_{50}\) and \(t_{75}\) (USP upper limits of \(t_{50}\) and \(t_{75}\) are 20 and 30 min, respectively, beyond which dissolution is poor) dissolution values indicate that all the tablets also possess good dissolution properties except amodiaquine brand CC which did not meet the essential minimum within 30 min (Figure 3). In addition, the amodiaquine brands passed drug identification test, as shown in Table 2.
Table 3: Disintegration and dissolution parameters for artesunate tablets (n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Disintegration time (mins)</th>
<th>t50 (min)</th>
<th>t75 (min)</th>
<th>Dissolution in 45 min (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.8±0.8</td>
<td>2.9±0.1</td>
<td>3.9±0.1</td>
<td>79.0±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.5±0.5</td>
<td>3.0±0.1</td>
<td>4.0±0.1</td>
<td>89.0±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>3.9±1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93.0±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>34.8±3.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>3.8±0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4.2±0.8</td>
<td>2.9±0.1</td>
<td>3.9±0.1</td>
<td>81.0±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3.8±0.8</td>
<td>2.7±0.1</td>
<td>3.3±0.2</td>
<td>92.0±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>3.0±0.6</td>
<td>3.0±0.1</td>
<td>4.1±0.1</td>
<td>79.0±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>7.7±1.2</td>
<td>2.9±0.2</td>
<td>3.8±0.2</td>
<td>93.00±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>11.7±2.0</td>
<td>2.9±0.2</td>
<td>3.9±0.1</td>
<td>95.0±5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>3.5±0.8</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
<td>3.6±0.3</td>
<td>89.0±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>3.5±0.8</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
<td>3.6±0.2</td>
<td>88.0±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>4.8±0.8</td>
<td>2.8±0.3</td>
<td>3.8±0.2</td>
<td>84.0±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>7.7±0.8</td>
<td>2.9±0.1</td>
<td>4.0±0.2</td>
<td>85.0±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>4.2±0.8</td>
<td>2.9±0.1</td>
<td>3.9±0.1</td>
<td>84.0±0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a n = 6; b t50 = time taken to attain 50 % dissolution; t75 = time taken to attain 75 % dissolution.

DISCUSSION

Artesunate products

The results presented in Table 1 indicate that a majority (66.7 %) of the artesunate tablets studied failed the drug content assay test. The identification test (using TLC) revealed that artesunate was undetectable in brands B2 and B3, due probably to the low content of drug. The packaging of the fake products was similar to some of the genuine brand, except for their colour and unique markings. This suggests that they might have been...
deliberately counterfeited. Counterfeiting has been reported in a recent survey in southeast Asia which showed that among 104 tablet brands presented as artesunate, 38% did not contain artesunate. Magnus et al [14] stated in a previous study that counterfeit or substandard artemisinin-based derivatives were being sold in parts of Africa, presenting a potential route for resistance development in the future. Also in Cambodia in 1990, substandard antimalarials were reported as responsible for the deaths of at least 30 people [15]. The results from this work are the first to show, to the best of our knowledge, the circulation of substandard artesunate tablet in Nigeria. This poses a serious health danger as Nigeria, in 2004, adopted the use of ACTs, in her national antimalarial treatment policy, for uncomplicated malaria in accordance with WHO guidelines [16]. In view of the health implications of counterfeit products, including development of resistant strains of plasmodium parasites, this calls for proper monitoring of all commercial brands of artesunate tablets, both locally manufactured and imported, including at ports of entry for imported products.

Out of the 10 generic products (66.7%) that failed drug content test, 8 were imported products and 2 (20%) were locally manufactured (F and F1) as shown in Table 1. Eight out of these 10 products had excess drug (> 110% of labelled content) and although they may be therapeutically effective, there could be increased risk of toxicity and increased side-effects on ingestion. The two products with drug content below pharmacopoeial specifications may be regarded as substandard and/or counterfeit products.

Chi-square analysis of the unit cost of the products and physicochemical properties revealed that there is no significant correlation between cost and the quality of artesunate products (p = 0.449) or between the quality of the drug and country of manufacture (p = 0.364).

In the dissolution test, at 45 min, all the artesunate products, except B2 and B3 which failed the drug content test, released over 75% of their drug content (see Table 3, Figure 1) and thus satisfied the requirements of BP [17] which states that the amount of active ingredient released in solution for uncoated tablets at 45 min should not be less than 75% of the labelled strength.

Products F and F1 which are indigenous (local) artesunate tablets had comparable hardness, longer disintegration times and higher amounts of active drug released compared to product B which served as the reference (Tables 1 and 3). This indicates good formulation protocol for these local products.

Amodiaquine products

All the five amodiaquine brand products studied disintegrated within 21 min (Table 2) and thus complied with the USP limit of 30 min. All the amodiaquine products had good hardness properties. There was no correlation between tablet hardness and disintegration time for these products (p > 0.05). The drug release profile of three of the products (BB, DD and EE) were not statistically different (p > 0.05) from that of the reference product AA. However, all but one product, CC, satisfied the USP requirement of a minimum of 75% release within 30 min.

Pharmaceutical equivalence

If a particular drug product meets the requirements of USP for dissolution and other in vitro parameters, it gives assurance that the drug will be released satisfactorily from the dosage formulation in vivo and should lead to good bioavailability. Although most of the tested products met compendial standards, including content uniformity, disintegration and dissolution rates, all the products cannot be classified as pharmaceutical equivalents. Pharmaceutical equivalents are those drug products in
identical dosage forms that contain identical amounts of the same active drug and that meet identical compendial or other applicable standards, including potency, content uniformity, disintegration time and dissolution rate. Hence artemisane products A, C, D, E and H1 were pharmaceutical equivalents as were amodiaquine samples AA, BB, DD and EE.

CONCLUSION

The detection of substandard and over-packed artemisane tablets brands and the observation that all the products tested had different dissolution profiles and disintegration rates imply that they were not pharmaceutical equivalents. Since pharmaceutical equivalence is a basic requirement (among other factors) for substitution when prescribing and/or dispensing drugs, this study shows that caution should be applied in substituting generic brands of artemisane and amodiaquine in the market in southwestern Nigeria.
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